LUMINESCENCE DATING OF FLUVIAL DEPOSITS IN THE ROCK SHELTER OF CUEVA ANTÓN, SPAIN
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Abstract: The fluvial sediments at Cueva Antón, a Middle Palaeolithic rock shelter located in the valley of the River Mula (Southeast Spain), produced abundant lithic assemblages of Mousterian affinities. Radiocarbon dates are available for the upper part of the archaeological succession, while for the middle to lower parts chronometric data have been missing. Here we present luminescence dating results for these parts of the succession. Quartz OSL on small aliquots and single grain measurements yield ages ranging from 69 ± 7 ka to 82 ± 8 ka with a weighted mean of 72 ± 4 ka for sub-complexes AS2 to AS5. Equivalent dose estimates from large aliquots were highest and inconsistent with those from single grains and small multiple grain aliquots. This is probably caused by the presence of oversaturating grains, which have been quantified by single grain measurements. Additional post-IR IRSL measurements on coarse grained feldspar give strong support to a well-bleached quartz OSL signal. While independent chronometric control is missing, the results are within the expected age range and support the notion of a rapid accumulation of the fluvial deposits.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cueva Antón is a Palaeolithic rock shelter located in the region of Murcia in Southeast Spain. The several meter thick sedimentary fill is mainly of alluvial origin deposited by the adjoining River Mula (Angelucci et al., 2013) (Fig. 1). Based on early findings during salvage excavations in 1991, where Middle Palaeolithic occupations were identified at the basal levels of the alluvial succession (Martínez, 1997), more recent fieldwork between 2006 and 2012 gave evidence that human occupation also occurred during the accumulation of the upper parts of the succession (Zilhão et al., 2010, 2012). Archaeological findings comprise abundant lithic artefacts, hearth features and a perforated Pecten maximus shell bearing residues of pigment (Zilhão et al., 2010). Radiocarbon dating on wood charcoal from Cueva Antón using the ABOx-SC pre-treatment protocol (Acid-Base-Oxidation-Stepped Combustion; Brock et al., 2010) dates...
unit II-b in the upper part of the archaeological succession (sub-complex AS1, see Fig. 2) to 32890 ± 200 BP (OxA-21244, 38440-36810 cal BP; Zilhão et al., 2010, Angelucci et al., 2013 and Wood et al., 2013). Further radiocarbon dates are available for units I-k of AS1 (31070 ± 170 BP, OxA-20882) and II-h/i of AS2 (39650 ± 550 BP, OxA-18672), but are considered unreliable due to the applied ABA (Acid-Base-Acid) pretreatment method that is shown to be less reliable in removing contaminants from charcoal (Jóris and Street 2008; Higham et al., 2009; Higham 2011; Maroto et al., 2012 and Wood et al., 2013).

This study focuses on the application of luminescence dating to the fluvial sediments of the middle to lower parts of the archaeological succession (AS2 to AS5) at Cueva Antón. Optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) provides the tools to not only cross-check the existing chronological framework, but also to provide first chronometric age estimates for the archaeological succession where radiocarbon dating failed due to insufficient yields of the charcoal samples (Zilhão et al., 2010). Moreover, the fluvial nature of the sediments (Angelucci et al., 2013) as well as the protected depositional environment in the rock shelter facilitates a challenging test bed to investigate the potential of various luminescence dating techniques. Since the advent of OSL dating (Huntley et al., 1985) there has been substantial progress both in instrumentation (cf. Botter-Jensen, 1997; Duller et al., 1999; Botter-Jensen et al., 1999, 2000, 2003, 2010; Thomsen et al., 2008a and Lapp et al., 2012) and in methodological aspects; for the latter most notably by the introduction of the single-aliquot regenerative-dose protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000, 2003 and Wintle and Murray, 2006). One of the major challenges in luminescence dating with respect to fluvial sediments is the question of whether the luminescence signal was completely or only partially reset (also termed “bleached”) during transport prior to deposition (Wallina, 2002; Jain et al., 2004 and Rittenour, 2008). The use of increasingly smaller sub-samples (“aliquots”) (Olley et al., 1998 and Duller, 2008) and single grain measurements (Duller et al., 1999 and Botter-Jensen et al., 2000) greatly improved the reliability of differentiating fully from partially bleached grains. Single grain dating has also been proved to be valuable for sediments in archaeological contexts, e.g. to identify the mixing of sediments due to human activity (Jacobs and Roberts, 2007). Likewise, substantial progress has been achieved in infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) dating of feldspar, which was long subordinate because of anomalous fading (Spooner, 1992, 1994), i.e. the loss of signal over time due to quantum mechanical tunnelling (Poolton et al., 2002). Besides methods for correcting anomalous fading (Huntley and Lamothe, 2001; Auclair et al., 2003 and Kars et al., 2008) the development of elevated temperature post-IR IRSL (pIRIR) dating protocols (Buylaert et al., 2009, 2012 and Thiel et al., 2011), which measure non-fading feldspar signals, initiated a series of studies to test their potential in various contexts (e.g. Kars et al., 2012; Lowick et al., 2012; Roberts, 2012 and Vasiliniuc et al., 2012).

Considering all this, there is now a wide variety of luminescence dating techniques available, each with their own assets and drawbacks. The present study applies and compares OSL dating on multiple and single grains of quartz. Additional post-IR IRSL dating on K-rich feldspars are carried out to shed further light on the bleaching history of the sediments. The analysis of various luminescence characteristics through a series of laboratory experiments and cross-validation by comparison of the performance of the dating techniques used was carried out in order to ascertain the most appropriate method for establishing a reliable chronostratigraphy for the archaeological record at Cueva Antón.

2. STUDY SITE AND SAMPLES

The Cueva Antón rock shelter (38°35′1.84″N, 1°29′47.20″W), located in the province of Murcia (Southeast Spain), approximately 3 km north of the town of Mula and directly adjoining the River Mula, is one of several Middle Palaeolithic sites in the Murcia region (Zilhão and Villaverde, 2008). Situated in the vicinity of the Betic Cordillera to the north and the Mula-Pliego Basin to the south the rock shelter itself is located in the Mula valley at an altitude of 356 m above sea level. The local geology of Cueva Antón is mainly conditioned by the Paleocene to Miocene rocks that form the local Mula unit, with lower Miocene marls and marly limestones, which outcrop along several thrust faults, dominating (Fig. 1). The rock shelter itself is located at the base of a 25–30 m high escarpment of mainly middle-to-upper Eocene limestones comprising calcareous breccias and conglomerates, calcarenites as well as micritic and nummulitic limestones (Angelucci et al., 2013).

The stratigraphic layout of the succession in Cueva Antón can be divided in four main complexes (from top to bottom): i) DD (Dam Deposits), made of fine, mostly silty beds that accumulated over the last decades (caused by occasional floodings of the rock shelter due to the construction of the La Cierva Dam started in 1929), ii) TL (Transitional Layers), formed of disturbed layers of uncertain age in intermediate position between DD deposits and underlying Pleistocene sediments (in part, backdirt from the 1991 trench), iii) AS (Archaeological Succession), formed of a number of Upper Pleistocene superposed alluvial sequences featuring distinct sedimentary facies, lateral variations, and including intercalations of slope material (particularly near the back wall), and iv) FP (Fine Palustrine), a weakly bedded, fine, organic-rich sediment forming the base of the exposed succession (Zilhão et al., 2010 and Angelucci et al., 2013).

It is the AS complex which is of particular geoarchaeological interest and is best described as a well-preserved alluvial sequence. A continuous accumulation of alluvial
beds with high sedimentation rates, the protective effect of the rock shelter and the incision of the River Mula preserved the sedimentary facies and archaeological elements from active surface dynamics, limited post-depositional dynamics and soil formation processes and spared the deposit from the subsequent action of fluvial dynamics (Angelucci et al., 2013). Based on sedimentary and stratigraphic criteria the AS complex can further be subdivided into five sub-complexes (further comprising 48 different units). For a detailed synopsis of the stratigraphic complexes the reader is referred to Angelucci et al. (2013).

While AS1 could successfully be dated by the radiocarbon method, no radiometric ages are available for the sub-complexes AS2 to AS5. Attempts at radiocarbon dating the base of the succession have failed so far due to insufficient yields of the charcoal samples (Zilhão et al., 2010). In order to provide first radiometric age estimates a total of nine samples were taken from the archaeological succession for luminescence dating by pushing opaque stainless steel tubes into various layers of two profiles (Fig. 2). The tubes were closed with opaque plastic caps, thus preventing an early reset of the luminescence signal. The first set of samples was taken during excavations in 2010 and a second during excavations in 2012. To determine the apparent residual dose in feldspar an additional modern-analogue sample (CA-7) was taken from a sub-recent fluvial terrace directly adjacent to the cave entrance of Cueva Antón.

3. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Sample preparation

All sample preparation procedures were conducted under subdued red illumination, following routine procedures (Wintle, 1997). Chemical treatment of the bulk samples involved hydrochloric acid (HCl 10%), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂ 10%) and sodium oxalate (Na₂C₂O₄). During this procedure any carbonates were dissolved, organic matter oxidised and the clay fraction dispersed. Coarse grained quartz and K-rich feldspar were separated using sodium polytungstate with densities of 2.58 g cm⁻³, 2.62 g cm⁻³ and 2.68 g cm⁻³. The enriched quartz fraction was further etched in hydrofluoric acid (HF 40%, 40 min) to remove any remaining feldspars and the outer layer of the quartz grains that received an alpha dose from the environment. Precipitated fluorides were removed by HCl (10%) wash for one hour. Depending on the amount of remaining sample material purified quartz and feldspars were sieved to 100–150 µm or 100–200 µm. For single
grain measurements of quartz the 200–250 µm grain size fraction was extracted. Additionally, for sample CA-9 the 40–63 µm quartz grain size fraction was prepared, applying the same chemical treatment as above. Mineral separation was achieved by etching the bulk sample in hexafluorosilicic acid (34%, 14 d). Precipitated fluorides were removed by HCl 10% wash.

Instrumentation
Luminescence measurements were conducted on Risø TL/OSL-DA-15/20 readers equipped with 90Sr/90Y beta sources for irradiation and delivering dose rates between 0.08 Gy s⁻¹ and 0.15 Gy s⁻¹. Optical stimulation of quartz multiple grain samples was performed at 80–90% power using blue diodes (470 ± 30 nm) for 40 s (Bøtter-Jensen et al., 1999). Single grains of quartz were stimulated for 2 s with a green Nd:YVO₄ diode-pumped laser (532 nm) delivering a power density of ~50 W cm⁻² (Bøtter-Jensen et al., 2000). Feldspar samples were measured at 90% power using infrared diodes (880 ± 80 nm) for 200 s. Luminescence signals were detected with an EMI 9235 photomultiplier through a 7.5 mm thick Hoya U340 (quartz) and 410 nm interference filter (feldspar).
The beta sources of three individual Risø TL/OSL reader with single grain attachments were calibrated using the BAG478 calibration quartz, which was thermally sensitised and homogeneously dosed to 8.03 Gy using a $^{60}$Co $\gamma$-source. Following the approach of Ballarini et al. (2006) about 500 individual $D_e$ values (in seconds) in average were obtained for each reader. The relative standard deviation of $D_e$ values obtained for each single grain position remained $<$20% in all cases. The spatial variability in the delivered dose rate was assessed by 3D plots (Fig. S1 in the Appendix). It is evident that the spatial distribution of delivered dose rate is very specific to individual beta sources (cf. Spooner and Allsop, 2000; Ballarini et al., 2006 and Lapp et al., 2012). While two beta sources have a near-uniform dose rate distribution, a clear trend in delivered dose was identified for one of the beta sources and reconfirmed a previous calibration of the same source by Lomax (2009). When applying individual dose rates to calculate single grain $D_e$ estimates, the overdispersion (OD), calculated using the central age model (CAM, Galbraith et al., 1999), could be reduced by up to 6.5 percentage points (Table 1). However, even after correction of the non-uniform beta dose rate an OD of 8–12% remained, which is in the range or slightly larger than the values of 6.9% and 7.3% reported in Thomsen et al. (2005). Similar values of 11.4% and 12% for gamma dosed single grain distributions are also reported in Thomsen et al. (2007).

**Equivalent dose estimation**

Quartz OSL was measured using a standard quartz SAR protocol after Murray and Wintle (2000, 2003) employing preheat temperatures of either 200°C or 220°C for 10 s (see Section 4 — Luminescence characteristics). The cutheat temperature was always chosen to be 20°C lower than the preheat temperature. Values for $L_s$ and $T_s$ were derived from the initial 0.8 s of the OSL signal, minus a background estimated from the last 5.0 s of the stimulation curve. For single grain measurements the OSL signal was derived from the first 0.054 s of stimulation, minus a background of the last 0.4 s. IRSL on feldspars was measured using the pIRIR$_{225}$ and pIRIR$_{290}$ protocols (Buylaert et al., 2009 and Thiel et al., 2011) employing preheat temperatures of 250°C and 320°C for 60 s, respectively. For both protocols the pIRIR stimulation time was 200 s, and after every SAR cycle the samples were IR-stimulated at 290°C (pIRIR$_{225}$) and 325°C (pIRIR$_{290}$) for 100 s to minimise any build-up of charge giving rise to a recuperated signal. The pIRIR signals were derived from the first 2.4 s of the IR stimulation with a subtracted background of the last 40 s. For single and multiple grains of quartz test doses of 20 Gy were applied, whereas a higher test dose of 40 Gy was used for all feldspar measurements. All dose response curves were fitted with a single saturating exponential function.

**Dosimetry**

Samples for dose rate determination were dried at 105°C for 24 h and finally homogenised with a mortar. The homogenised samples were filled in Marinelli beakers of different standardised geometries filled with either 830 g or 1459 g sample material. After storing the samples for at least four weeks to allow equilibrium re-establishment of $^{226}$Ra and its daughter nuclides, the samples were measured for 20 h in a high-resolution gamma-ray spectrometer with a coaxial P-type high purity germanium (HPGe) detector. The uranium ($^{238}$U) and thorium ($^{232}$Th) contents were calculated by measuring the gamma rays of the corresponding daughter nuclides $^{226}$Ra, $^{214}$Pb, $^{214}$Bi and $^{228}$Ac, $^{212}$Pb, $^{208}$Tl, respectively. For all samples the specific activity (Bq kg$^{-1}$) of these nuclides were compared to check for indications of a disequilibrium in the $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th decay series. None of the samples showed aberrant behaviour, hence a secular equilibrium was assumed. The potassium content ($^{40}$K) was determined by measuring the 1460 keV gamma ray that is emitted during the electron capture decay to $^{40}$Ar. The nuclide activities of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K were converted to dose rates using the conversion factors given in Guérin et al. (2011). To account for the attenuation of $\beta$-particles in grains correction factors of Mejdahl (1979) were used.

The cosmic-ray dose rates were calculated according to Prescott and Hutton (1994), taking into consideration the altitude and geomagnetic latitude of the sampling site and the thickness, density and water content of the overlying sediments. For near surface samples (CA-1, CA-2) the cosmic-ray dose rate was modelled using a polynomial component fitted to data presented by Prescott and Hutton (1988). The attenuation of cosmic-rays in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reader</th>
<th>Number of grains accepted/measured</th>
<th>Mean dose rate ($\text{Gy s}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Lowest individual dose rate ($\text{Gy s}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Highest individual dose rate ($\text{Gy s}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>Overdispersion in $D_e$ values (mean dose rate)</th>
<th>Overdispersion in $D_e$ values (individual dose rate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>635/1700</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>553/1700</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>415/2400</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of beta dose rate assessment for three Risø TL/OSL readers with single grain attachments. Calibration of the beta sources was done using a calibration quartz that was thermally sensitised and homogeneously dosed to 8.03 Gy using a $^{60}$Co gamma source. The overdispersion was calculated using the central age model (Galbraith et al., 1999). The variation in delivered beta dose rate was highest for Reader C (see also Fig. S1 in the Appendix).
overlying rock was accounted for and a correction for the geometric shielding by the rockshelter was incorporated using the equations given by Dunne et al. (1999). To account for the external alpha dose rate of the outer rim of the mineral grains alpha efficiencies (a-values) of 0.07 ± 0.02 and 0.035 ± 0.003 were used for feldspar and quartz, respectively (Preusser et al., 2005 and Lai et al., 2008). In addition, for K-rich feldspar an internal beta dose rate due to an internal 40K-content has to be considered for age calculation. As the internal K-content was not specifically measured, a potassium concentration of 12.5 ± 0.5% (Huntley and Baril, 1997) was assumed. While indirect (Reimann et al., 2012a) and direct (Zhao et al., 2005 and Smedley et al., 2012) determinations confirm a large variability of internal K-contents in feldspar, a mean potassium concentration of 12.5 ± 0.5% for multiple grain measurements is still likely to be a valid estimate (Smedley et al., 2012).

Because water has a higher radiation absorption coefficient than air it is necessary to estimate the water content of the sample. Measured present day water contents varied from 1% to 19%. However, these values were assumed to be not representative for the water content over the burial time. On the basis of soil texture and pore space, assuming predominantly cold and dry climate conditions during the Late Pleistocene (Fletcher and Sánchez Goñi, 2008 and Vegas et al., 2010) and taking into consideration the sheltering from direct precipitation, a long-term water content of 5 ± 3% was estimated instead and used to correct the alpha, beta and gamma dose rates with correction factors given in Aitken (1985). Dosimetry data are summarised in Table 2.

4. RESULTS

Luminescence characteristics

Quartz and feldspar from Cueva Antón showed bright OSL and IRSL signals, respectively (Fig. 3). Multiple grain aliquots were rejected when the recycling ratio exceeded 1.1 or was less than 0.9 (Murray and Wintle, 2000). Single grains of quartz were discarded when the recycling ratio exceeded 1.2 or was less than 0.8 (cf. Jacobs et al., 2003). The error on the recycling ratio was not considered. Multiple grain aliquots of quartz and feldspar and single quartz grains were rejected when the recuperation ratio, i.e. the quotient of the L0/T0 ratio of the zero dose and the L0/T0 ratio of the natural dose, exceeded 5% (Murray and Wintle, 2000). Furthermore, only aliquots and single grains of quartz having an OSL IR depletion ratio (Duller, 2003) between 1.1 and 0.9 were accepted. Further aliquots and single quartz grains were rejected if the net OSL signal was not at least three standard deviations above background, the test doses error was >5%, or when no meaningful curve-fit of the dose-response curve could be calculated.

To assess the variability in the saturation dose D0 of quartz from Cueva Antón a single saturating exponential was fitted to all aliquots and single grains that passed the rejection criteria. Mean D0 values varied between 63 and 67 Gy when measured on multiple grain aliquots. However, for a specific sample D0 values varied significantly from aliquot to aliquot with standard deviations ranging from 7 Gy (8 mm aliquots) to 22 Gy (1 mm aliquots). The variability in D0 values determined on single grains of quartz on samples CA-1 and CA-5 is even larger with mean saturation doses of 63 ± 28 Gy and 60 ± 27 Gy, respectively.

### Table 2. Dosimetry data for quartz and feldspar samples from Cueva Antón. Nuclide contents of U, Th and 40K were derived from measurements using a high-resolution gamma-ray spectrometer with a coaxial P-type high purity germanium (HPGe) detector. Measured water contents were assumed to not representative for the water content over the burial time and a long-term water content of 5 ± 3% was estimated instead. The water content is expressed as mass of dry sediment. Q = quartz, FS = feldspar, WCM = water content measured, AWC = assumed water content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Grain size (µm)</th>
<th>Uranium (ppm)</th>
<th>Thorium (ppm)</th>
<th>Potassium (%)</th>
<th>WCM (%)</th>
<th>AWC (%)</th>
<th>Cosmic dose rate (Gy ka⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total dose rate D₀ (Gy ka⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–150 200–250</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-4</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-5</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-5</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-6</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.02</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-9</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-10</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>40–63 100–150</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-11</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-12</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The signal composition of quartz was checked by linearly modulated (LM-)OSL measurements conducted on five 8 mm aliquots for samples CA-1 and CA-6, respectively (Fig. S2 in the Appendix). Deconvolution of the LM-OSL curves was done using the `fit_LMCurve()` function of the R package ‘Luminescence’ (Kreutzer et al., 2012). Analysis of the deconvolved LM-OSL curves revealed the presence of four signal components. Mean values for photoionisation cross-section of components were (from component 1 to component 4): $2.73 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2$, $2.63 \pm 0.21 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, $2.37 \pm 0.13 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2$, and $2.32 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$. Component 1 can be associated with the fast component. For these samples the fast component is dominant and makes up 80–85% of the net OSL signal.

A preheat plateau test was done on 8 mm aliquots from sample CA-1 (Fig. 4). The $D_e$ was measured in groups of five for different preheat temperatures in steps of 20°C ranging from 180°C to 300°C (held for 10 s). The cutheat temperature was always chosen to be 20°C lower than the preheat temperature. Fig. 4 indicates a preheat plateau between 200–240°C. Additionally, a set of combined dose recovery preheat plateau tests were carried out on small aliquots (1 and 2 mm) of samples CA-1, CA-2 and CA-9 (Fig. 5). The naturally trapped charge was removed by blue stimulation for 150 s at room temperature. The samples were then given a laboratory dose of 60 Gy. For all samples the dose recovery ratios were in agreement within 10% of unity for preheat temperatures from 180°C to 280°C.

**Fig. 3.** Representative luminescence decay curves and corresponding dose response curves for quartz and feldspar samples from Cueva Antón. 
A) Quartz OSL intensity of a multiple grain aliquot (1 mm) from sample CA-6 during stimulation with blue diodes. B) OSL intensity of a single quartz grain from sample CA-1 during stimulation with a green laser. C) and D) show the pIRIR decay curves during elevated stimulation temperature readouts at 225°C and 290°C, respectively. For quartz multiple grain measurements the signal of the initial 0.8 s were used minus a background of the last 5 s of stimulation. For single grain measurements the OSL signal was derived from the first 0.054 s of stimulation minus a background of the last 0.4 s. Both pIRIR signals were derived from the first 2.4 s of the IR stimulation with a subtracted background of the last 40 s. The insets show the sensitivity corrected dose response curves. Vertical dashed lines indicate the initial integral used to obtain the luminescence signal and the time interval used for background subtraction.
Based on these tests a preheat temperature in the range of 200–240°C was considered to be appropriate for the samples under study. For all subsequent quartz OSL measurements a preheat/cutheat combination of either 200/180°C or 220/200°C was used. The same thermal treatment was applied in the SAR protocol for single grain measurements.

To check the applicability of all applied protocols for quartz and feldspar a series of dose recovery tests were conducted. Details on the dose recovery tests and their results are given in Table 3. In all cases the administered dose could be recovered within 10% of unity. For quartz the mean dose recovery ratio was 0.99 ± 0.04 and 1.04 ± 0.06 for feldspar. The dose recovery tests on feldspar indicate a better reproducibility of the pIRIR225 signal over the pIRIR290 signal.

### Table 3. Summary of dose recovery tests.

Small aliquots of quartz were optically bleached for 150 s at room temperature with blue diodes. The natural OSL signal of single quartz grains was removed by a repeated green laser stimulation for 4 s (at 25% laser power) at room temperature with an intermediate pause of 10000 s (cf. Duller, 2012). Cross-checking the OSL decay curves of both stimulations revealed that the natural signal of all grains was fully reset prior to irradiation. Feldspar aliquots were bleached for 1 h in a Hönle SOL2 solar simulator (a) and in the Risø TL/OSL reader by a repeated 200 s IR stimulation with an intermediate pause of 1000 s (b). For the aliquots bleached in the solar simulator residual doses of 4.2 Gy (pIRIR225) and 12.6 Gy (pIRIR290) were subtracted, which were measured on separate aliquots after the same bleaching conditions (see Fig. S5 in the Appendix). In all cases the applied laboratory doses could be recovered within 10% of unity. SG = single grain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Grain size (µm)</th>
<th>Aliquot diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Given dose (Gy)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Dose recovery ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>200–250</td>
<td>SG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>pIRIR225</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>pIRIR225</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>pIRIR290</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>pIRIR290</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-9</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-10</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>40–63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4.** Dependency of $D_e$ on preheat temperature deduced from a preheat plateau test for sample CA-1. Filled circles indicate the mean $D_e$ of five aliquots measured for each preheat temperature. The error bars are the standard error of dose estimates obtained for each temperature. Open squares are the recuperation rate, i.e. the quotient of the $L_x/T_x$ ratio of the zero dose and the $L_n/T_n$ ratio of the natural dose, expressed in percent. The preheat plateau test was conducted on large 8 mm aliquots and shows a well-defined preheat plateau ranging from 200°C to 240°C. The mean recuperation rate always remained below 5% of the natural signal.

**Fig. 5.** Dependency of $D_e$ on preheat temperature deduced from “dose recovery preheat plateau” tests for samples CA-1, CA-2 and CA-9. Filled circles indicate the mean $D_e$ of three or four aliquots measured for each preheat temperature. The error bars are the standard error of dose estimates obtained for each temperature. Open squares are the mean recuperation rates. Solid line represents the given laboratory dose; dashed lines represent 10% uncertainty. For all three samples the laboratory dose of ~60 Gy could be recovered within 10% of unity for preheat temperatures from 180°C to 280°C. In all cases the mean recuperation rate remained below 5% of the natural signal.
Estimating the amount of grains on a sample disc

Multiple grain $D_e$ estimation techniques are known to be prone to averaging effects (e.g. Duller, 2008 and Arnold and Roberts, 2009) and there is an increasing number of single grain studies where aberrant grain behaviour was found to be the cause for $D_e$ over- and underestimations on the multiple grain scale (e.g. Arnold et al., 2012; Stone and Bailey, 2012 and Demuro et al., 2008, 2013). However, when dealing with averaging effects in multiple grain measurements there is the obvious question on how many grains are actually present on an aliquot that potentially contribute to the measured OSL signal. Here, we adopted the approach of Heer et al. (2012), who presented empirical data on this issue, and estimated the number of grains $n$ on an aliquot by assuming a two-dimensional ‘Packing Equal Circles in a Circle’ (PECC) problem (cf. Huang and Ye, 2011) and using the following equation

$$n = \frac{\pi r^2_c}{\pi r^2_g} d$$

(4.1)

where $r_c$ is the radius of the aliquot (mm), $r_g$ is the mean radius of the grain size fraction (mm) and $d$ is the packing density (value between 0 and 1). Instead of assuming $d = \pi/\sqrt{12} \approx 0.907$ (e.g. Rhodes, 2007 and Heer et al., 2012), a value that can only be achieved in a densest circle packing on an infinite plane (Chang and Wang, 2010), packing density values were taken from Specht (2012). Here, packing density values vary between 0.656 and 0.875 for $n$ up to 1500 (omitting values for $n = 1$ and 2). In addition, multiple grain aliquots in 1, 2 and 8 mm diameter were prepared as described in Heer et al. (2012) and photographed with a digital Keyence VHX-2000 microscope in order to count the number of grains on the disc. The results are shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain size (µm)</th>
<th>Aliquot diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum packing density $d$</th>
<th>Calculated maximum number of grains</th>
<th>Number of aliquots</th>
<th>Number of counted grains</th>
<th>Counted average number of grains</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Packing density $d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40–63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–63</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is evident that there is a substantial discrepancy between the theoretical and actual number of grains on an aliquot, even when assuming maximum packing densities in a PECC problem. In addition to the possible reasons given by Heer et al. (2012) it has to be considered that preparing the aliquot by sprinkling the grains on the disc is a highly random process. Thus, the probability of arranging the grains in the densest possible configuration on the disc can be considered zero. One exception to this observation is the calculated packing density of 40–64 µm grains on 1 mm aliquots. Possible reasons for a packing density $d \geq 1$ are: a) the desired aliquot diameter is in reality larger than 1 mm (e.g. due to imprecise disc preparation), b) the grains are not arranged in a commonly assumed mono-layer, or c) a combination of both.

Aggregating the results of Heer et al. (2012) and of Table 4 a mean empirical packing density value of $d = 0.65$ is proposed. Using this value and assuming a mean grain size of 125 µm it was estimated that 1, 2 and 8 mm aliquots contain 42, 166 and 2662 grains, respectively (see Fig. S3 in the Appendix).

Proportion of grains emitting luminescence

The brightness distribution of quartz from Cueva Antón was investigated by constructing a cumulative light sum curve (Duller et al., 2000) for the natural signal ($L_n$) of samples CA-1 and CA-5 (Fig. 6). However, variations in grain-to-grain brightness of the natural signal can be the result of external sources of variation such as partial bleaching or beta dose heterogeneity. Therefore, a second plot was done for the natural test dose signal ($T_n$), where the ratio of bright to dim grains ought to be the result of inherent quartz grain characteristics. In addition, the signal intensity distribution of two quartz samples used for beta source calibration (RisoeQ and BAG478) was analysed in the same way. As both samples were thermally sensitised and homogenously dosed to 4.81 Gy and 8.03 Gy, respectively, in a uniform $^{60}$Co γ-radiation field prior to this study, a smaller variation in signal intensity was expected.

The cumulative light sum curves revealed that 95% of the total natural signal intensity is emitted by 7.4% and 9.2% of the total grain population for samples CA-1 and CA-5, respectively. In combination with the estimated amount of grains on a sample disc it is estimated that the natural signal from 1, 2 and 8 mm aliquots is mainly derived from 3, 13 and 213 grains in average. Furthermore, for a typical 1 mm aliquot used in this study containing 40–45 grains about 80% of the signal is likely to come from a single grain. After applying the test dose the proportions of light emitting grains increase to 13.6% and 14.3%. As expected, the signal intensity distributions of the calibration quartz samples were more uniform. About 26.4% (RisoeQ) and 50.9% (BAG478) of the grains emit 95% of the bulk OSL signal.
Presence of over-saturating grains

The same single grain data sets used to investigate the brightness distribution were systematically surveyed for “over-saturating” (‘o-s’) grains (Stone and Bailey, 2012), i.e. grains with a natural signal \( L_n/T_n \) which does not intercept the dose response curve (Yoshida et al., 2000 and Jacobs et al., 2003). This kind of behaviour has been predicted in the dose absorption model of Bailey (2004) as a result of the difference in dose rates between natural and laboratory irradiation with regard to the R1 hole-trapping centre. During natural irradiation the relatively thermally unstable R1 centre \( (E = 1.43 \text{ eV}) \) is in a state of low equilibrium concentration, but in a considerably higher equilibrium concentration during laboratory irradiation. During the latter, the resulting increased competition for free electrons during irradiation effectively lowers the dose response curve so that the natural dose point, unaffected by the laboratory dose rate, can be above the fitted asymptotic signal level (Bailey, 2004).

The asymptotic regeneration value was established for those grains for which a meaningful exponential growth curve could be fitted. To identify and distinguish ‘o-s’ grains from those grains whose natural signal is above the dose response curve due to random counting errors, the natural signal had to be at least two standard deviations above the asymptotic regeneration value.

Proportion and brightness of over-saturating grains

Out of the 2728 grains measured in total of sample CA-1 only 20 grains (0.73%) have shown a similar behaviour attributed to ‘o-s’ grains. A further 10 grains (0.37%) were close to saturation, but had an \( L_n/T_n \) value that still intercepted the dose response curve in the saturation area above \( 2D_0 \) and hence were not classified as ‘o-s’ grains. For sample CA-5 only 15 grains \((n = 2598)\) were identified as ‘o-s’ grains (0.58%). A comparable amount of grains \((n = 16)\) had an \( L_n/T_n \) value that intercepted the dose response curve above \( 2D_0 \) (0.62%). Dividing the sum of net OSL intensities of all ‘o-s’ grains in a sample by the total light sum revealed that the ‘o-s’ grains are responsible for 32% (CA-1) and 11% (CA-5) of the total emitted OSL signal. While on the single grain scale ‘o-s’ grains can easily be identified and discarded from further analysis, for multiple grain aliquots the presence of ‘o-s’ grains is expected to lead to an overestimation of the \( D_e \) due to their disproportional contribution to the natural signal compared to the regenerated signals (Yoshida et al., 2000). However, the impact of ‘o-s’ grains on multiple grain \( D_e \) estimates depends on the probability of inclusion, the degree of over-saturation and the relative brightness (Stone and Bailey, 2012). While the contribution of all ‘o-s’ grains to the total light sum appears large, the ‘o-s’ grain OSL intensities were found to vary in orders of magnitude. While the brightest ‘o-s’ grain of sample CA-1 had a net OSL signal of ~400000 counts in the first 0.05 s of stimulation, the dimmest ‘o-s’ grain only had ~400 counts. Hence, it appears that the contribution of ‘o-s’ grains to the total light sum is not evenly shared by all ‘o-s’ grains, but rather dominated by a smaller fraction of disproportional bright grains.

While less than 0.8% of all measured grains were identified as ‘o-s’ grains and despite the low probability of an ‘o-s’ grain on a small aliquot (<20%) when assuming a binomial distribution, the influence of ‘o-s’ grains on \( D_e \) estimates may very well increase for larger ali-
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**Fig. 6.** Proportion of grains emitting luminescence, visualised as a) a cumulative light sum curve after Duller et al. (2000), and as b) the absolute brightness of each grain as described by Duller (2006). Both plots show the brightness distribution of the natural signal \( L_n \) and after the natural test dose \( T_n \) of 15 Gy. The dashed line represents a population of grains with all grains having the same brightness. The cumulative light sum curves revealed that 95% of the total natural signal intensity is emitted by 7.4% and 9.2% of the total grain population for samples CA-1 and CA-5, respectively. A typical 1 mm aliquot used in this study that contains 40-45 grains about 80% of the signal is likely to come from a single grain. After applying the test dose proportion of light emitting grains increase to 13.6% and 14.3%.
quots. For a 1 mm aliquot covered by 40–63 µm grains (CA-10) there is only a 18% probability that none of the 240 grains is an ‘o-s’ grain. In contrast, there is a probability of 31% of one ‘o-s’ grain, 26% of two ‘o-s’ grains and 14% of three ‘o-s’ grains to be present on the aliquot. For CA-1 virtually all large 8 mm aliquots (2662 grains per disc) contain at least five ‘o-s’ grains.

The effect of over-saturating grains on synthetic aliquot $D_e$

To further investigate the effect of ‘o-s’ grains on multiple grain $D_e$ estimates synthetic aliquots were constructed by summing up the signals of all grains of a single grain disc where ‘o-s’ grains were identified. For sample CA-1 13 synthetic aliquots were constructed where each disc contained one ‘o-s’ grain. The relative contribution of the ‘o-s’ grain to the total light sum of each synthetic aliquot varied between 1 to 55%, while the proportion of grains emitting 95% of the total light sum ranged from 6 to 28% with an average of 12.2 ± 7.3%. For three of the synthetic aliquots the ‘o-s’ grains were bright enough to dominate the dose response, so that no $D_e$ could be calculated. Similar values were determined for the synthetic aliquots of sample CA-5 ($n = 7$), where the relative contribution of ‘o-s’ grains ranged from 3 to 64% and the proportion of grains emitting 95% of the total light sum varied from 3–20% with an average of 10.0 ± 5.7%. The obtained $D_e$ values from the multiple grain synthetic aliquots were then compared to the $D_e$ that was obtained when excluding the ‘o-s’ grains (Fig. 7). Exclusion of these grains revealed that the presence of ‘o-s’ on a multiple grain aliquot directly effects the calculated $D_e$ value. After removal of the ‘o-s’ grains from the synthetic aliquot the maximum observed decrease in $D_e$ was ~66%. It further appears that for the samples under study the relative decrease in $D_e$ is linearly proportional to the relative contribution of the ‘o-s’ grain to the total light sum (Fig. 7). Another observation is that almost half of the identified ‘o-s’ grains only amount for less than 12% of the total light sum and hence lead to a decrease in $D_e$ of similar proportion after removal from the synthetic aliquot. Most of the remaining ‘o-s’ grains lead to a decrease in $D_e$ of 10–25% after removal, while two distinct outliers more than halved the $D_e$ of the synthetic aliquot due to their high contribution to the total light sum of about 65%. As a consequence, the presence or absence of ‘o-s’ grains has to be considered as an additional source of intrinsic scatter between multiple grain aliquots when explaining the observed scatter in $D_e$ distributions of the samples under study.

5. DISCUSSION

Dose distributions

The observed dispersion in natural dose distributions is a composite of intrinsic and extrinsic sources of error. The former arises e.g. from variations in photon counting statistics and curve-fitting uncertainties (Galbraith and Roberts, 2012). In the absence of any extrinsic factors such as partial bleaching, post-depositional sediment mixing or variations in beta microdosimetry, and when all intrinsic sources of uncertainty have been determined and properly accounted for, no spread in dose distributions should be observed. However, it is common that even in dose recovery experiments, where any extrinsic sources of scatter that affect natural samples are effectively excluded, and after taking all intrinsic errors into account, a substantial spread in $D_e$ values remains (Galbraith et al., 2005).

To assess whether the assigned uncertainties on the individual $D_e$ estimates are sufficient to explain the observed variability we calculated the overdispersion using the central age model. For the quartz samples OD values ranged from 11% to 33%. The general assumption of less variation in $D_e$ with an increasing number of simultaneously measured grains (Duller, 2008 and Arnold and Roberts, 2009) also applied to this study (Fig. S4 in the Appendix). Single grain $D_e$ distributions exhibit the highest mean OD (29%), which continuously decreases for multi grain aliquots with increasing number of simultaneously measured grains. The decrease in OD approximately follows the prediction of Cunningham et al. (2011). However, in terms of absolute values, the observed OD in single grain and multiple grain $D_e$ distribu-

![Fig. 7. Effect of over-saturating ('o-s') grains on the $D_e$ of synthetic multiple grain aliquots. For each of the single grain discs where an 'o-s' grain was identified the single grain signals of all grain hole positions were summed up and a $D_e$ value was calculated before and after excluding the 'o-s' grain from the synthetic aliquot. The decrease in $D_e$, expressed as the ratio of the $D_e$ without the 'o-s' grain and the $D_e$ including all grains, is plotted against the contribution of the 'o-s' grain to the total light sum of the synthetic aliquot. An apparently linear proportionality between light sum contribution and decrease in $D_e$ was observed for the samples under study.](image-url)
tions is higher than those summarised in Arnold and Roberts (2009). In any case, the calculated OD values hence indicate that the observed spread in dose distributions cannot be explained by intrinsic uncertainties alone. This may either be due to the fact that the intrinsic variability has been underestimated or that additional intrinsic and extrinsic sources of scatter have to be considered.

Extrinsic sources of uncertainty

Samples analysed in this study are known to be of fluvial origin (Angelucci et al., 2013), hence partial bleaching might affect the $D_e$ distributions to some extent. However, LM-OSL measurements have shown a clear dominance of the fast component and routine assessment of $D_e(t)$ plots (Bailey, 2003) constructed for samples CA-1, CA-2 and CA-6 on different aliquot sizes and single quartz grains provide no evidence for a significant dependence of $D_e$ on the integration interval over the initial part of the signal. Contamination of the integrated OSL signal used for $D_e$ determination by a partially bleached medium component is hence regarded unlikely. Another method for identifying partial bleaching of quartz from samples CA-1 and CA-5 was applied by measuring the much harder-to-bleach pIRIR signals of feldspars (Thomsen et al., 2008b and Buylaert et al., 2012; see Fig. S5 in the Appendix). As dose rates to quartz and feldspar and hence the $D_e$ differ due to the internal K-content of feldspar we calculated the age of quartz from samples CA-1 and CA-5 was applied by conducting as fading correction methods are problematic (e.g. Huntley and Lamothe, 2001; Auclair et al., 2003 and Kars et al., 2008) were conducted as fading correction methods are problematic (e.g. Wallinga et al., 2007 and Reimann et al., 2011). However, since the quartz OSL age estimates from single grains and small aliquots perfectly agree with the age derived from the much harder-to-bleach pIRIR signal, this gives strong support to a well-bleached quartz OSL signal. This confirms the assumption that partial bleaching is not necessarily an impediment to obtaining accurate chronologies for Late Pleistocene alluvial samples (Jain et al., 2004; Martins et al., 2010; Sohbati et al., 2012 and Medialdea et al., 2014).

Another source of extrinsic variability might arise from post-depositional sediment mixing that is often associated with archaeological deposits (cf. Jacobs and Roberts, 2007). However, the single grain $D_e$ distributions from sample CA-1 and CA-5 provide no evidence for distinct dose components that might be related to the intrusion of younger or older grains (Fig. 8). The lack of
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Fig. 8. Single grain $D_e$ distributions of samples CA-1 and CA-5 visualised in a combined radial plot (Galbraith, 1988) and kernel density estimate (KDE) plot, generated with the plot_AbanicoPlot() function of the R package ‘Luminescence’ (Kreutzer et al., 2012). The grey bar in the radial plot is centred on the weighted $D_e$ calculated by the central age model (CAM, Galbraith et al., 1999). The calculated overdispersion values indicate that the observed spread in the dose distributions cannot be explained by intrinsic uncertainties alone.
post-depositional modifications at Cueva Antón is also confirmed by Angelucci et al. (2013). The use of a finite mixture model (Galbraith and Green, 1990 and Roberts et al., 2000) was hence considered to be inappropriate.

The observed spread in the dose distributions may also partly be explained by small-scale variations in the beta radiation dose to which the samples have been exposed during burial (Olley et al., 1997 and Duller, 2008). Modelling studies (e.g. Nathan et al., 2003) have shown that beta heterogeneity may significantly influence $D_e$ distributions. However, there is no straightforward method to identify beta dose heterogeneities and their impact on dose estimates (cf. Guérin et al., 2013). At this stage we cannot assess whether the observed overdispersion can at least partly be explained by microdosimetry or not. Considering that our samples were probably not affected by partial bleaching, the CAM may yield the most appropriate burial dose estimate if beta dose heterogeneity is probable (Guérin et al., 2013).

**Intrinsic sources of uncertainty**

While extrinsic sources of error potentially account for some of the observed scatter, further intrinsic factors may have not been accounted for yet. While low OSL intensity of quartz (cf. Duller, 2006; Preusser et al., 2006 and Klasen et al., 2007) as a source of additional scatter to $D_e$ distributions can be excluded due to the bright signals, it was shown that even for heated and homogenously irradiated quartz an OD of 8–12% remained in single grain $D_e$ datasets after correcting for the spatial non-uniformity of the beta sources. This additional intrinsic uncertainty is comparable to values reported earlier by Thomsen et al. (2005, 2007) and Reimann et al. (2012b). However, unlike Thomsen et al. (2007) and Reimann et al. (2012b) we did not add this uncertainty onto the uncertainties of the single grain $D_e$ estimates because this value was derived from a gamma dose recovery experiment for calibrating the beta sources on calibration samples of different provenance.

Beyond that, recent single grain studies have demonstrated that different ‘grain behavioural types’ with regard to luminescence properties such as brightness and dose-response characteristics can have a significant impact on both single and multiple grain dose distributions and have to be considered as additional sources of intrinsic scatter (e.g. Yoshida et al., 2000; Duller, 2012; Stone and Bailey, 2012 and Demuro et al., 2008, 2013). By single grain measurements the variability of the saturation dose $D_0$ and the presence of ‘over-saturating’ was investigated. The former has been reported to affect the ability to successfully recover a laboratory dose in a dose recovery experiment as the given dose approaches or exceeds the saturation dose (Duller, 2012). A mean $D_0$ of ~63 Gy was determined for quartz from Cueva Antón, which falls within the range of saturation doses of quartz reported in Wintle and Murray (2006). Despite the large variability of $D_0$ values similar to the values reported by Duller (2012) all conducted dose recovery experiments on single grains and multiple grain aliquots performed well (Table 3). Hence, the observed variability in $D_0$ values is not expected problematic for the samples from Cueva Antón.

Following the suggestion of Stone and Bailey (2012) the single grain data sets were monitored for the presence of ‘o-s’ grains. By making synthetic aliquots consisting of 100 grains each it was assessed that most ‘o-s’ grains only have a minor influence on the calculated $D_e$. However, some ‘o-s’ grains more than doubled the $D_e$ or were even so bright to saturate the synthetic aliquot so that no $D_e$ could be calculated. While ‘o-s’ grains are easily rejected from single grain data sets, there is no formal indication whether a multiple grain aliquot and its $D_e$ that otherwise passes all rejection criteria is affected by the presence of one or more ‘o-s’ grains. Hence, for multiple grain aliquots systematically higher $D_e$ and age estimates should be expected (Stone and Bailey, 2012). However, statistically indistinguishable single grain and small aliquot CAM $D_e$ estimates of 61.6 ± 4.2 Gy and 61.5 ± 3.4 Gy for CA-1 and 46.9 ± 2.9 Gy and 48.9 ± 2.8 Gy for CA-5, respectively, were obtained. It is only for the 8 mm aliquots of CA-1 that a significantly higher mean $D_e$ 74.7 ± 4.3 Gy was calculated. A similar behaviour was observed for sample CA-10, where 1 mm aliquots covered with 100–150 µm quartz grains yielded a $D_e$ of 44.4 ± 2.7 Gy, but where the same aliquot size covered with 40–63 µm quartz grains yielded a considerably higher $D_e$ of 62.4 ± 3.6 Gy. Assuming that the $D_e$ increase can indeed be attributed to the presence of ‘o-s’ grains, they would be responsible for an increase of about 12–18 Gy. Considering that single grain and small aliquot $D_e$ distributions of CA-1 and CA-5 yield indistinguishable mean $D_e$ estimates it appears that the presence of ‘o-s’ grains only has a relevant impact for larger aliquots (cf. Stone and Bailey, 2012).

While it is not possible to definitely state the causes for the observed spread in data and the $D_e$ and age overestimation of larger multiple grain aliquots, the single grain and synthetic aliquot analyses indicate that multiple grain averaging effects are a complex system of various (aberrant) OSL signal characteristics. Here, these problematic averaging effects seem to become noticeable when the number of simultaneously measured grains exceeds a certain threshold value. Small aliquot $D_e$ estimates are seemingly not affected by the presence of ‘o-s’ grains, which might be the result of a low probability of having an ‘o-s’ grain and an overall low percentage of bright grains that contribute to the total light sum. When a small aliquot contains one or more very bright ‘o-s’ grains, which have been shown to have the highest impact on the $D_e$ about equal to their share of the total light sum, there is a higher probability that the ‘o-s’ grain even saturates the small aliquot with only a few other grains. As a result, the small aliquot would not pass the rejection criteria. But as the amount of simultaneously measured grains increases, it is less likely that the ‘o-s’ grains is
able to saturate the multiple grain aliquot. Depending on its relative contribution to the multiple grain OSL signal the ‘o-s’ may then lead to a systematic overestimation of the equivalent dose.

**Palaeodose and age estimates**

Concluding the previous sections we consider the CAM as the most appropriate age model to calculate an equivalent dose representative for the ‘true’ burial age of the samples from Cueva Antón. Furthermore, only the quartz single grain and small aliquot (1 mm and 2 mm with 100–150/200 µm grains) data sets are considered to yield reliable age estimates, as larger aliquots are supposedly affected by a systematic overestimation of the $D_e$ due to the presence and influence of over-saturating grains. CAM $D_e$ estimates obtained from these data sets show only little variation on a sample-to-sample basis and no obvious correlation with sample depth or stratigraphic order. The CAM $D_e$ values range from 44 Gy to 75 Gy and yield luminescence ages between 69 ka and 82 ka. Results of equivalent dose determination and age calculation are summarised in Table 5.

**Archaeological and sedimentological implications**

A coherent quartz OSL-based chronostратigraphy for the archaeological succession (AS2-AS5) at Cueva Antón is provided (Fig. 9). Due to the highly significant agreement of age estimates ($P = 0.95$), an error weighted mean and associated standard deviation of 71.0 ± 1.8 ka is suggested for unit II-e. Likewise, weighted mean ages of 69.2 ± 0.9 ka ($P = 0.91$) and 72.1 ± 5.8 ka ($P = 0.42$) for unit III-f and III-m are suggested, respectively. Taking all age estimates into account, the high agreement of age estimates ($P = 0.99$) reduces to an error weighted mean of 72.0 ± 4.2 ka for sub-complexes AS2 to AS5.

The age estimates for unit II-e (~71 ka) at the top of AS2 clearly contradicts the ABA radiocarbon age of 39.7 ± 0.6 ka BP (~43.5 ka cal BP, OxA-18672; Zilhão et al., 2010) obtained from the underlying unit II-h/i. However, the radiocarbon date is regarded as a preliminary minimum age estimate for unit II-h/i (Zilhão et al., 2010).

The very low variation in quartz OSL age estimates throughout the entire archaeological succession suggests a fairly rapid fluvial accumulation of the sediments, which is in very good accord with the sedimentological and micromorphological findings of Angelucci et al. (2013). However, given that all age estimates are statistically indistinguishable it is neither possible to discern individual flood events nor to constrain the involved time span.

Furthermore, the suggested time frame for the deposition of the middle to lower part of the alluvial succession also implies a major hiatus between sub-complexes AS2 and AS1, which are separated by an erosional cut. However, the interpretation of such a large hiatus in the sedimentary record remains open and requires additional investigation.

**6. CONCLUSIONS**

The aim of this study was to establish a reliable chronostratigraphy for the archaeological succession from sub-complexes AS2 to AS5 of Cueva Antón. For that purpose, the most reliable luminescence dating technique for estimating the age of fluvial deposits in a rock shelter was ascertained through a series of laboratory experiments and by cross-validating the performance of the dating methods used.

From a methodological perspective the following conclusions can be drawn: i) The multiple grain approach utilising quartz grains on small (preferably 1 mm) aliquots is a viable method to determine the burial age of fluvial deposits in rock shelters that are unaffected by post-depositional mixing. ii) While the same results on the interpretation of the succession at Cueva Antón would have been achieved if exclusively small aliquots were used, single grain measurements of quartz provided valuable information for the analysis of $D_e$ distributions. Statistically indistinguishable $D_e$ and age estimates from

---

**Table 5. Results of equivalent dose determination and age calculation. Q = quartz.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Lab. Code</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Aliquot diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Grain size fraction (µm)</th>
<th>Nr of aliquots/grains accepted/measured</th>
<th>Age model</th>
<th>Over-dispersion (%)</th>
<th>Equivalent dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Total dose rate (Gy ka$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Luminescence age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L2941</td>
<td>CA-1</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>42/50</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>15.3±0.7</td>
<td>61.5±3.4</td>
<td>0.67±0.10</td>
<td>70.9±7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>SG</td>
<td>200–250</td>
<td>50/3100</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>30.2±1.9</td>
<td>61.6±2.4</td>
<td>0.84±0.11</td>
<td>72.9±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L3137</td>
<td>CA-2</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>51/80</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>29.3±1.6</td>
<td>66.9±4.4</td>
<td>0.97±0.12</td>
<td>69.3±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L3375</td>
<td>CA-9</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>46/58</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>22.6±1.2</td>
<td>55.0±3.3</td>
<td>0.67±0.08</td>
<td>82.2±8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L2492</td>
<td>CA-4</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>41/48</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>17.0±0.8</td>
<td>75.1±4.3</td>
<td>1.08±0.13</td>
<td>69.4±7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L2493</td>
<td>CA-5</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>45/48</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>17.9±0.8</td>
<td>48.7±2.8</td>
<td>0.70±0.09</td>
<td>69.9±7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L2977</td>
<td>CA-11</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>60/80</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>23.5±1.3</td>
<td>44.2±2.7</td>
<td>0.62±0.08</td>
<td>72.2±7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L3377</td>
<td>CA-11</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>60/80</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>27.1±1.3</td>
<td>49.0±3.0</td>
<td>0.66±0.08</td>
<td>74.1±7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L3138</td>
<td>CA-6</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>60/80</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>32.7±1.7</td>
<td>66.8±4.3</td>
<td>0.86±0.10</td>
<td>77.5±9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-e</td>
<td>C-L3170</td>
<td>CA-12</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>45/70</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>25.0±1.4</td>
<td>58.4±3.7</td>
<td>0.85±0.10</td>
<td>69.1±7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
single grains and small aliquots give strong support for the potential of small aliquot measurements for studies in comparable contexts. Furthermore, single grain measurements allowed the detection of aberrant grain behaviour and by constructing synthetic aliquots their impact on multiple grain \( D_e \) estimates could be quantified. iii) The presence of ‘over-saturating’ grains, i.e. grains whose natural signal is significantly above the dose response curve, affected multiple grain \( D_e \) estimates and can lead to a systematic overestimation of the \( D_e \) as the number of simultaneously measured grains increases. Besides the probability of having an ‘o-s’ grain on the aliquot, the degree of overestimation appears to be primarily controlled by the relative contribution of the ‘o-s’ grain to the total light sum of a multiple grain aliquot. iv) The comparison of different luminescence dating techniques utilising different minerals and luminescence signals with varying bleaching rates is a powerful tool to identify partial bleaching and to strengthen the reliability of the obtained chronologies (cf. Murray et al., 2012).

Finally, the results of the present study are a valuable contribution to the chronological framework and interpretation of the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition in the Iberian Peninsula. The quartz OSL chronology for the middle to lower parts of the fluvial succession at Cueva Antón suggests a fairly rapid deposition of the sediments during late MIS 5 and possibly throughout MIS 4. Consequently, Mousterian occupations of the rock shelter primarily documented in the lower parts of the succession fall within that particular time frame.

Fig. 9. OSL chronostratigraphy for the archaeological succession (AS2-AS5) at Cueva Antón using multiple and single grains of quartz. GISP2 oxygen isotope curve from Grootes et al. (1993) with timescale of Meese et al. (1997). Approximate timing of Heinrich Event 6 (HE 6) taken from Hemming (2004) (see also Cayre et al., 1999 and references therein). Dashed lines on y-axis denote unlisted archaeological units (see Angelucci et al., 2013 for a comprehensive list). Grey bars on x-axis are the standard deviation (dark) and twice the standard deviation (light) on the error weighted mean of all age estimates. Inset: Radial plot (Galbraith, 1988) of quartz OSL age estimates. The reference value is the error weighted mean of age estimates. The grey bar denotes the 2\( \sigma \) (95% confidence interval) band on the reference value.
APPENDIX

Five figures are available as Supplementary Material in electronic version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/geochr-2015-0010. Fig. S1: 3D Plots of beta source dose rate distributions from three Risø TL/OSL readers; Fig. S2: Deconvolved LM-OSL curves from samples CA-1 and CA-6; Fig. S3: Number of mineral grains on a sample disc as a function of the aliquot diameter, mean grain size and packing density; Fig. S4: Dependency of overdispersion on the amount of grains on a sample disc; Fig. S5: Bleaching rates of the pIRIR_{225} and pIRIR_{300} signals from sample CA-1.
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