1 Aximoff, I.; Carvalho, W.D.; Romero, D.; Esbérard, C.E.L.; Guerrero, J.C.; Rosalino,
2 L.M. (2020). Unravelling the drivers of maned wolf activity along an elevational
3 gradient in the Atlantic Forest, south-eastern Brazil. *Mammalian Biology*, 100: 187–201
4 (DOI: 10.1007/s42991-020-00017-x)

5
6 This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Mammalian*
7 *Biology*. The final publication is available at Springer Nature via
8 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s42991-020-00017-x>”.

Unravelling the drivers of maned wolf activity along an elevational gradient in the Atlantic Forest, south-eastern Brazil

Izar Aximoff^{1#}, William Douglas Carvalho^{2#}, David Romero^{3#*}, Carlos Eduardo Lustosa Esbérard⁴, José Carlos Guerrero³ & Luís Miguel Rosalino^{5, 6}

1 - Instituto de Pesquisas do Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro, Escola Nacional de Botânica Tropical, Pós-Graduação em Botânica. Rua Pacheco Leão 2040 - Solar da Imperatriz, Horto – Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 22460-036, Brazil.

2 - Programa de Pós-graduação em Biodiversidade Tropical, Universidade do Amapá, Rod. Juscelino Kubitschck, S/N, Macapá, AP 68903-419, Brazil.

3 - Laboratorio de Desarrollo Sustentable y Gestión Ambiental del Territorio. Instituto de Ecología y Ciencias Ambientales. Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de la República, Uruguay.

4 - Laboratório de Diversidade de Morcegos, Departamento de Biologia Animal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, CP 74507, 23890-000, Seropédica, RJ, Brazil.

5 - CESAM & Departamento de Biologia, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal.

6 - cE3c - Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Change, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal.

These authors contributed equally to this work.

** Corresponding author: davidrpbio@fcien.edu.uy*

Declarations of interest: none

Abstract

The maned wolf, the largest canid in South America, was originally distributed in areas with open natural vegetation in the Cerrado biome, Chaco and Pampas regions. The dynamics of its distribution are however in flux, with populations declining at the southern limit of its distribution, and areas of apparent range expansion in Brazil. Although the maned wolf's overall distribution is well documented, little is known about its smallest-scale landscape use. Here we used a novel approach, characterising "favourable territories" for maned wolves using presence data and information on daily movement capacity. In this way, we used favourability distribution models to relate local landscape use by maned wolves to environmental drivers in the Serra da Mantiqueira, part of the core of the species distribution. Our results showed that the favourability of territories for maned wolf activity increases with altitude, and with the proportion of coverage of upper montane vegetation refuges and of open habitats such as agricultural fields. Our results also show that the configuration of the environment with respect to topography is an important driver of the favourability of the landscape for maned wolf activity. Finally, we identified some human-wildlife conflicts in the surroundings of the protected area which could increase with increasing maned wolf populations. In conclusion, our results support the importance of maintaining the integrity of high-altitude open areas in the conservation of maned wolf habitat and provide useful data for maned wolf management at the core of its global current distribution. We highlight that this is the first study to use fuzzy logic tools at the local scale to analyze the favourability of territories for maned wolf activity in a highly favourable region along an elevational gradient.

Keywords: *Chrysocyon brachyurus*; favourable territories; high-altitude grasslands; human-wildlife conflicts; maned wolf activity

Introduction

The maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus* Illiger, 1815) is the largest canid in South America, and is anatomically adapted to move in open areas (Dietz, 1984; Childs-Sanford, 2005; Coelho et al., 2008; Massara et al., 2012). In Brazil, the species was originally distributed in areas of native open vegetation, reaching high population densities in the Brazilian savannah, i.e., Cerrado biome (Queirolo et al., 2011). However, due to habitat loss, roadkill, diseases and retaliation for predation of domestic animals (Curi et al., 2010; Freitas et al., 2015; Massara et al., 2015), the maned wolf is now considered vulnerable in Brazil (MMA, 2014), with a projected population reduction of 30% expected in the next 20 years (Paula et al., 2013). This decline is mostly linked to the current and forecasted devastation of approximately 50% of the Brazilian savannah due to agricultural expansion (Queirolo et al., 2011). Nevertheless, some marginal populations of the maned wolf show an inverse pattern. The replacement of Atlantic Forest by anthropogenic fields (mainly pastures), has led to the expansion of the maned wolf's distribution into the Atlantic Forest biome of south-eastern Brazil, where the species was previously absent or rare (Queirolo et al., 2011). Numbers of maned wolf records in the Atlantic Forest have increased in recent years, mostly in altered pasture fields (Queirolo et al., 2011; Eckhardt, 2016; Beca et al., 2017; Bereta et al., 2017; Xavier et al., 2017). However, there have been occasional records in the high-altitude natural grasslands of the Atlantic forest (Avila-Pires and Gouvea, 1977; Geise et al., 2004).

One of the most representative areas of the Atlantic Forest is the Serra da Mantiqueira, a mountainous region ranging from 500m a.s.l. to 2,798m a.s.l. (Simas et al., 2005; Barreto et al., 2013), located in the most populated states in Brazil, i.e. São Paulo, Minas Gerais and Rio de Janeiro (IBGE, 2016). The Serra da Mantiqueira is considered an irreplaceable region of high biodiversity value and, thus, a conservation priority area (Myers et al., 2000; Le Saout et al., 2013). In the state of Minas Gerais, maned wolves had only been recorded in Cerrado areas (e.g. Aragona and Setz, 2001; Queirolo and Motta-Junior, 2007), until their diet was recently described in forested areas of the Serra da Mantiqueira (Rosa et al., 2015). The first record of maned wolves in the Serra da Mantiqueira was in the state of Rio de Janeiro in 1954. The species was observed in native high-altitude grasslands at 2,400m a.s.l. in the Itatiaia National Park (Avila-Pires and Gouvea, 1977). Since that time, evidence of the presence of the species in the region has only been registered more recently, and in just a few mammal surveys carried out in higher areas of the region, such as in the high-altitude fields (Geise et al., 2004; Aximoff et al., 2015). The Serra da Mantiqueira has been identified as an area of high favourability for the species globally, being comparable to the Cerrado Biome as the centre of the species range in terms of high environmental favourability for this canid (Coelho et al., 2018). Such high favourability, together with its location near to the species core distribution (Queirolo et al., 2011), may indicate that the Serra da Mantiqueira could act as one of the source cores for maned wolf populations. This highlights the importance of assessing the local drivers shaping the species home range movements in the Serra da Mantiqueira, to better understand its spatial role for the survival of this canid in a changing world.

Although the maned wolf's wide distribution is now well documented (see Queirolo et al., 2011), as well as the environmental drivers that determine it (Coelho et

al., 2018), there is a scarcity of information regarding the local spatial behaviour of the species within its current expansion area (Queirolo et al., 2011), or regarding spatial configuration along an elevational gradient, which may be an import factor to consider as gradient characteristics can determine local adaptations in resource use (e.g., Myslajek et al., 2012; Carvalho et al., 2019). Species distribution models have been used successfully to establish the relationship between a species and its environment (Guisan and Zimmermann, 2000; Guisan et al., 2013; Romero et al., 2016; Coelho et al., 2018), and even to predict the presence of species in localities not previously known to be occupied (Real et al., 2017). In this paper, we apply the favourability function at local scale in order to improve our understanding of local landscape use by the maned wolf in a protected territory in the core of the maned wolf global distribution, the Serra da Mantiqueira. Our specific objectives were to: (i) identify the territories most favourable for maned wolf activity in the Serra da Mantiqueira; and (ii) estimate environmental drivers that determinate this spatial configuration of favourable territories in a highly favourable region along an elevational gradient. Finally, we discuss these results in the context of maned wolf management at the core of its global current distribution.

Material and method

Study area

The study was carried out in two protected areas within the Serra da Mantiqueira: Itatiaia National Park and Serra do Papagaio State Park (hereinafter INP and SPSP respectively; Fig. 1). The INP encompasses the counties of Itatiaia and Resende, in the state of Rio de Janeiro, and the counties of Itamonte and Bocaina de Minas, in the state of Minas Gerais. The INP covers an area of 28,084ha ranging from

540m a.s.l. to 2,798m a.s.l. The SPSP is located in the counties of Aiuruoca, Alagoa, Baependi, Itamonte and Pouso Alto in the state of Minas Gerais, and covers an area of 22,917ha ranging from 1,000m a.s.l. to 2,314m a.s.l. These protected areas make up part of a large corridor of protected areas along the Serra da Mantiqueira Mountains, including the Pedra Selada State Park, Serra da Mantiqueira Environmental Protection Area, the Passa Quatro National Forest and numerous Private Nature Reserves (Carvalho et al., 2015). The INP and SPSP are covered by four different types of vegetation which vary as elevation increases: lower montane forest (between 50 and 500m a.s.l.), montane forest (from 500 to 1,500m a.s.l.), upper montane forest (between 1,500 and 2,000m a.s.l.) and high-altitude fields (above 2,000m a.s.l.) (Segadas-Vianna and Dau, 1965). The forests are dominated by a mixture of seasonal semi-deciduous and ombrophilous dense forest, including sites with the critically endangered Brazilian pine *Araucaria angustifolia*-Kuntze, 1898 (Oliveira-Filho and Fontes, 2000). The rural areas surrounding the protected areas are covered by remnants of secondary forest, degraded grasslands, federal and a network of state highways and unpaved roads embedded in a matrix of agricultural lands. The most north – north-western portion of the Serra da Mantiqueira (state of Minas Gerais), is considered to be a transition zone between the Atlantic forest and the Cerrado biomes (Ururahy et al., 1983; IBGE, 2004). The region's climate is mesothermal (Koeppen, 1948), with mean annual temperature and precipitation of 11.5°C and 2,150mm, respectively (IEF, 2008; Barreto et al., 2013). The study area encompasses ca. 535km² representing more or less seven times the average home range-size described for this species in Brazil (ca. 70km²; Coelho et al., 2008). As such, the monitored area was large enough to cover several animals' home-ranges. We generated a grid of 1km x 1km spatial resolution within the study area for the modelling procedure (Fig. 2). This resolution allows the identification of areas of

high-quality habitat appropriate as a management unit for maned wolf conservation (Barbosa et al., 2010). Finally, to assess the potential for human-wildlife conflicts, we carried out interviews in the rural areas located close to INP.

Records of maned wolf presence

We sampled maned wolf presence from October 2010 to September 2014 in the two protected areas (INP and SPSP). In INP, monthly censuses were carried out between October 2010 and September 2012, and in SPSP, 30-day campaigns were carried out in each season between January 2010 and December 2014. In both locations, the censuses covered all types of land cover considered in the present study (Appendix A, Fig. A.1). The study area was sampled at least twice (once in the dry season and once in the rainy season), totalling 1,500km of effort. The censuses covered an area with a wide elevational range, varying from 400 to 2,500m a.s.l (Appendix A, Fig. A.1).

Both direct observations and tracks or faeces were considered as signs of presence of maned wolves (Becker and Dalponte, 1991; Reis et al., 2010), and used to define the area with maned wolf activity (54 records of presence in Fig. 2a). The rest of the study area was considered as lacking maned wolf activity, and therefore to be an unoccupied area. Then, for the modelling procedure we generated a buffer with a radius of 1.5 km around each of the 54 presence points (see Silveira et al., 2009 for more details), which was considered to be the territory used by each maned wolf detected. The buffer was defined with a diameter of 1.5 km as this represents the expected daily movement capacity of maned wolves, based on values reported in the literature (Silveira et al., 2009). All the 1km x 1km grid squares totally or partially contained in the buffer area were considered part of the area with activity of the species (Fig. 2b). So, a total of 203 grids with maned wolf activity were the number of grids from which was built the

model. In this way, we used grids instead of geographical locations in the models, so solve a large part of the spatial autocorrelation derived from observation spatial clustering or sampling bias (Romero et al., 2019).

Finally, in order to register possible human-maned wolf conflicts, a total of 70 local people, including residents and park staff, were interviewed in the surroundings and within the area of the INP. Specifically, the interview consisted of the following questions: 1) when and where was the last time that you saw a maned wolf in the region?; 2) Do you know of any predation of domestic animals by maned wolves?; 3) Have you witnessed any maned wolf deaths as a result of retaliation for predation damages, or a road collision?

Environmental characterization

To characterize the environment in the study area we used different environmental predictors: topography, land use category, human activities and vegetation types (Table 1). We assigned a value or category to each 1km x 1km grid cell depending on the type of environmental predictor considered. The mean elevation per grid was obtained from the Insituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE, 2019). So, the topography was represented by the mean elevation and, the slope and North-South predominant orientation. The land use category was defined as the type of landscape use (see Table 1), being classified according to the vegetation map for the Atlantic Forest (IBGE, 2004). The vegetation type was also defined according to the vegetation classification of the Atlantic Forest (Segadas-Vianna and Dau, 1965), and as all locations were above 500 m a.s.l. the types were: montane forest (from 500 to 1,500m a.s.l.), upper montane forest (between 1,500 and 2,000m a.s.l.) and high-altitude fields (above 2,000m a.s.l.). The population density in the study area according to the Data

Center in NASA's Earth Observing System Data and Information System (EOSDIS) was used as a proxy for the intensity of human activities (details in Table 1).

Analysis of the favourability of the landscape for maned wolf activity

We used a logistic regression approach to evaluate which environmental factors might be influencing maned wolf presence patterns (Hosmer et al., 2013). Based on the grids with activity of the species (Fig. 2B), and the set of environmental predictors generated, we applied the favourability function (FF) to predict the location of areas where maned wolf home range activities may occur (Real et al., 2006; Coelho et al., 2018). This function consists of a multifactorial logistic regression, with a model selection based on a forward-backward stepwise procedure and on Akaike Information Criteria or AIC (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). Model building and selection was implemented using the *fuzzySim* package (Barbosa, 2016) for R (R Core Team, 2017). The probability of maned wolf presence for each grid cell was used to calculate the favourability values (F) according to the following equation:

$$F=[P/(1-P)]/[(n1/n0)+(P/[1-P])],$$

where P is the probability value obtained from the multifactorial logistic regression, and n1 and n0 are grid numbers corresponding to presences or pseudo-absences, respectively. We applied the FF to identify the areas that contain favourable conditions regardless of the presence/pseudo-absence ratio (Real et al., 2006), and therefore suitable conditions for the species to be present. The FF reflects the degree (between 0 and 1) to which the probability values obtained in the model differ from that expected according to the species' prevalence, where 0.5 indicates no difference between both

probability values. Probability depends both on the response of the species to the predictors and on the overall prevalence of the species (Cramer, 1999), whereas favourability values only reflect the response of the species to the predictors (Acevedo and Real, 2012). Favourability values were categorized into high (areas with favourability values higher than 0.8), intermediate (with favourability values between 0.21 and 0.80), and low (with favourability values less than 0.2) favourability. This categorization is equivalent to defining a prediction with odds higher than 4:1 as favourable and lower than 1:4 as unfavourable (Muñoz and Real, 2006).

Prior to the modelling procedure, we standardized all predictors to avoid bias in the modelling results associated with the difference in scale of the continuous variables. To avoid excessive multicollinearity in the model, we checked pair-wise variable correlations (Pearson's test) applied the "corSelect" function from fuzzySim package, and the Variance Inflation Factor or VIF (Zuur et al., 2009; Zar, 2010).

We evaluated the discrimination and classification capacity of the models with the *modEvA* R package (Barbosa et al., 2016). Specifically, we calculated the discrimination ability of the models using the AUC (Lobo et al., 2008), and the classification capacity by assessing sensitivity, specificity, Kappa and Correct Classification Rate (CCR) values (taking the value of $F = 0.5$ as the classification threshold). Finally, we checked the relative weight of the variables in the model using a Wald's test (Wald, 1943) through the *survey* package (Lumley, 2004; 2018). Responses to interview questions were expressed as percentage of interviewees mentioning the focal issue.

Results

Model assessment

Correlations between predictor variables used in the model procedure were always below 0.7 ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1 and Appendix A, Table A.1), and inflation values were lower than 1.5 (VIF values up to 10 are acceptable according to Montgomery and Peck, 1992), and commonly accepted as the cut-off value to consider variables as non-collinear (Zuur et al., 2009). As such, all predictor variables were used in subsequent modelling procedures. The favourability model obtained acceptable scores according to the evaluation indices used to estimate discrimination and classification capacities. Discrimination capacity measured by the index AUC was 0.724 or “excellent” according to Hosmer and Lemheshow (2000). In general, the classification indices indicated that the model correctly classified favourable territories for maned wolves ($CCR > 0.70$). Specifically, the model was slightly better at classifying territories as being of low favourability for maned wolf activity (specificity= 0.743), than at classifying territories as being favourable (sensitivity= 0.621) (Table 2).

Territory with maned wolf activity

We detected 54 locations with maned wolf presence: 36 confirmed by faeces, 11 by footprints and 7 by visual observation. The maned wolf presence records defined two main populations in the study area: one at the far north in the SPSP, and another at the southern end in the INP, with smaller populations scattered in between (Fig. 2). All these populations were located in areas with the highest concentrations of high-altitude fields above 2,000m a.s.l. (Appendix A, Fig. A.1). These include two small populations, one in the north and another on the edge of the SPSP, that are located in areas where part of the natural fields have been converted to pastures, mainly signal grass (*Brachiaria decumbens*) and molasses grass (*Melinis minutiflora*).

All the regions within the Serra da Mantiqueira Mountains study area were classified as being of at least intermediate favourability for maned wolves ($F \geq 0.2$). A core regional nucleus of high favourability ($F \geq 0.8$) for maned wolves was identified in the south of the study area in the INP, and three small highly favourable core areas more to the north in the SPSP. Furthermore, 92% of the grid cells classified as being highly favourable for maned wolf presence were in high-altitude fields, above 2,000m a.s.l (Fig. 3).

Environmental drivers of favourability for maned wolves

Only five of the eighteen candidate environmental predictors explained the activity patterns of maned wolves in the study area: high-altitude fields ; slope; high coverage of upper montane vegetation refuges; high coverage of agriculture fields; and dense-mixed ombrophilous forest, ordered in decreasing relative importance according to a Wald's test (Wald, 1943) (Table 3).

With respect to human activity in the study area and surroundings, we observed domestic animals (horses and cattle) and evidence of grazing, even in natural fields in the SPSP. Beyond this, more than half the local people interviewed mentioned that they had seen maned wolves in areas surrounding the INP ($n = 37$; 52.8%); and half of them stated that these records have increased in the last five years ($n = 35$; 50%), especially in rural areas of Resende county (Appendix A, Fig. A.2 photo A). Moreover, the team of environmental managers from the municipalities of Itatiaia and Resende reported maned wolf presence in industrial areas of both cities (Appendix A, Fig. A.2 photos E-G). In terms of detecting human conflicts in the surroundings of the study area, on the one hand, only eighteen percent of the interviewed local people reported maned wolf attacks on small domestic animals ($n = 13$; 18.5%), such as chickens. On the other hand, ten

percent reported maned wolf killing as a retaliation measure ($n = 7$; 10%), and only four reported deaths as a result of road collisions ($n = 4$; 5.7%).

Informal interviews with park rangers also confirmed the use of anthropogenic areas by maned wolves. However, there were no reported conservation conflicts (death by retaliation) within the INP. Rangers reported one adult and one juvenile maned wolf consuming rubbish in a dump and exploring camping areas of the INP. The use of such anthropogenic areas was confirmed by scat analysis, as we detected plastic material in maned wolf faeces (Appendix A, Fig. A.2 photo C).

Discussion

Maned wolf activity and drivers in the Serra da Mantiqueira

The Serra da Mantiqueira has been described as a central part of the maned wolf's distributional range, based both on high population density and on high favourability compared with other parts of its range (Queirolo et al., 2011; Coelho et al., 2018). However, our results show that there is a gradient of favourability of areas for the maned wolf within the Serra da Mantiqueira when examined at the local scale. Indeed, we found the areas with the most favourable conditions for maned wolf activity are concentrated at the far north of the SPSP and in the southern part of the study area, on the border between SPSP and INP.

In common with other authors (Dietz, 1984; Queirolo et al., 2011; Coelho et al., 2018), we found that areas of high altitude (above 2000m a.s.l.) with low cover of upper montane forest, and with open habitats such as high-altitude fields or even agricultural fields, are more favourable for maned wolves. Areas of upper montane forest likely appear favourable as a result of the abrupt classification of the vegetation (Segadas-Vianna and Dau, 1965), when in reality there is a relative transition between the

different types of vegetation, forming a mosaic (Appendix A, Fig. A.1). For example, in the SPSP area up to 2,314 m a.s.l., there are large areas of high-altitude fields that form a matrix containing natural patches of upper montane forest of different sizes (see Ribeiro et al., 2018). The model indicated a positive relationship between the coverage of agricultural areas and the regions with higher favourability for maned wolf activity, which probably reflects the relative ease with which maned wolves can move through and use these open areas, compared with the Atlantic forest vegetation they have replaced (Dietz, 1984; Queirolo et al., 2011; Coelho et al., 2018). In fact, the agricultural areas in our study region are concentrated mainly in the north of the SPSP, as well as in the surrounding areas further south where the model indicated intermediate and high favourability.

Maned wolf activity in high-altitude fields in the Atlantic forest mountains

Modelling showed that the high-altitude natural open fields of the Serra da Mantiqueira are more favourable for activity of maned wolves. This is perhaps unsurprising given that these areas show similar structural characteristics to the grasslands of the Brazilian savannah, where the maned wolf evolved (Coelho et al., 2008; Jácomo et al., 2009; Queirolo et al., 2011). This ecosystem is restricted to higher elevations of the Atlantic forest in south-eastern and southern Brazil, and has many endemic and endangered plant and animal species (Martinelli, 1996; Safford, 1999; Aximoff, 2011; Carvalho et al., 2015; Ortiz et al., 2017; Aximoff et al., 2018). Although Rosa et al. (2015) detected maned wolf faeces in semi-deciduous forests in the county of Itamonte, our model indicated it is common for species to avoid the forested areas, especially those with a dense understory which makes movement more difficult for maned wolves (Childs-Sanford, 2005; Coelho et al., 2008; Massara et al., 2012).

The higher favourability of high-altitude grasslands for maned wolves may also be related to their feeding habits. *Solanum lycocarpum* (Solanaceae) is one of the main food sources for maned wolves in the Serra da Mantiqueira (Rosa et al., 2015). This plant occurs in both Brazilian savannahs and the Atlantic Forest, being more common in open habitats (Mentz and Oliveira, 2004; Stehmann et al., 2014). Moreover, *Solanum* is a genus that exhibits higher species richness and abundance with increasing elevation along the mountain ranges of South America. The occurrence of *Solanum* sp. in several regions, and mainly in higher areas in mountain ranges in the Atlantic Forest (Knapp, 2002), may also be contributing to the spread of the maned wolf into those areas (Bueno and Motta-Junior, 2009). Indeed, given that records of maned wolf in the high-altitude grasslands have been made in the region for several decades, registered for the first time in 1954 by Avila-Pires and Gouvea (1977), we suspect that the occurrence of maned wolves in these areas is probably natural and not the result of the current colonization of recently cleared forest, as detected in other regions (Bueno and Motta-Junior, 2009; Queirolo et al., 2011). This result provides quantitative support for the idea that the substitution of Atlantic Forest vegetation with open anthropogenic environments is one of the drivers of the local expansion of the maned wolf.

Human-maned wolf conflicts

Human-wildlife conflict is one of the main threats identified for maned wolves, especially in rural areas (Paula et al., 2013), which are the dominant landscapes in the vicinity of the two Protected Areas studied. Despite detecting maned wolf activity in the vicinity of urban and peri-urban environments (see photos in Appendix A, Fig. A.2), the modelling procedure did not identify human influence within protected areas as a direct driver of maned wolf activity. Indeed, although domestic grazers such as horses and

cattle were observed in the SPSP, the number of animals per unit area is very low and the SPSP management team has on-going programs to eliminate livestock grazing within the park (Carvalho et al., 2015; Mendonça, 2017). This result shows that the two protected areas have been effective in the conservation of this near endangered canid. On the other hand, the surveys surrounding the Serra da Mantiqueira mountains (in the buffer zone) highlighted the existence of some human-maned wolf conflicts related with incidences of attacks on poultry, with subsequent persecution and retaliatory killing of maned wolves. So, we would recommend management and awareness plans in the towns near these points. Furthermore, as we have documented (see Appendix A1, Fig. A.2 photo C), consumption of waste by maned wolves has been increasing in urban areas, but also in protected areas, with unknown consequences for maned wolf populations (Aragona and Setz, 2001; Massara et al., 2012; Silva and Talamoni, 2003). Such increases, typical of more generalist species, should be monitored to identify the tipping point, from which the negative impact on maned wolfs starts to be greater than the possible advantages of exploring easy to access and easy to use resources close to humans. In addition, educational programs on the correct disposal of rubbish, with more effective enforcement (perhaps with fines), should be carried out with tourists, researchers and employees of both Protected Areas.

Maned wolf conservation in the Serra da Mantiqueira mountains

The Serra da Mantiqueira mountains are considered a conservation priority site within the Atlantic Forest, owing to large forest remnants and threatened high-altitude natural grassland fields that host biodiversity-rich communities of animals and plants (Le Saout et al., 2013; Martinelli, 2007; Ribeiro et al., 2009). It should be noted that the threats that currently impact the region include real estate speculation, construction of

hydroelectric dams and opening of fields for mining (Carvalho et al., 2015; Ferreira et al., 2014). Thus, conservation measures aiming to maintain the integrity of high-altitude grasslands are crucial to ensure that maned wolf habitat requirements are met, thus avoiding population decline as required in its management plan (see Paula et al., 2008). The species has large home range requirements, and as such conservation programs for maned wolves must help to guarantee the preservation of large areas of land (Caro, 2010), including the buffer zones of protected areas, which are also very important for the local fauna (Paolino et al., 2016; Xavier et al., 2018).

Conclusions

We highlight that this is the first study to use fuzzy logic tools at the local scale to analyze the favourability of territories for maned wolf activity in a highly favourable region along an elevational gradient. We applied a novel methodology to determine the regions more likely to be used by the maned wolf in protected areas in the core of its global distribution in Brazil. These results indicate that in the Serra da Mantiqueira Mountains (INP and SPSP protected areas) the maned wolf populations show a structure-oriented habitat preference, specifically for grasslands or other open environments such as agricultural fields. This may indicate that maned wolf conservation actions in the Serra da Mantiqueira should be adapted to specific characteristics of locally favourable territories. This type of territorial management approach has previously been highlighted by other authors as a suitable approach for maned wolf conservation. As such, these results provide useful data for maned wolf management at the core of its current global distribution.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio – SISBIO license 16968-1) and Instituto Estadual de Florestas de Minas Gerais (IEF – license 151/11 - Extensão I), the administration of both protected areas for logistical support during this study. WDC is supported by Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) through a postdoctoral scholarship (CAPES-PNPD). LMR was financially supported by the University of Aveiro (Department of Biology), CESAM (UID/AMB/50017), FCT/MEC through national funds, and the co-funding by the FEDER, within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement and Compete 2020. We also thank Clarissa Alves da Rosa for important contributions as a reviewer and Karen Mustin for the English review of this manuscript. Dr. David Romero was supported by a postdoctoral grant from the Graduate Academic Commission (CAP, from Spanish acronym Comisión Académica de Posgrado) of the Universidad de la República (2018-2020).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

References

- Acevedo, P., Real, R., 2012. Favourability: concept distinctive characteristics and potential usefulness. *Naturwissenschaften*. 99, 515–522.
- Aragona, M., Setz, E., 2001. Diet of the maned wolf, *Chrysocyon brachyurus* (Mammalia: Canidae), during wet and dry seasons at Ibitipoca State Park, Brazil. *J. Zool.* 254, 131–136.
- Avila-Pires, F.D., Gouvea, E., 1977. Mamíferos do Parque Nacional do Itatiaia. *Boletim do Museu Nacional, Nova Série Zoologia*. 291, 1–29.

456 Aximoff, I., Carvalho, W.D., Abdalla, L.S., Rosa, C.A., 2018. New upper altitudinal
 457 range of anteater species in highlands of South America. *North-West. J. Zool.*
 458 e187701.

459 Aximoff, I., Cronemberger, C., Pereira, F.A., 2015. Amostragem de longa duração por
 460 armadilhas fotográficas dos mamíferos terrestres em dois parques nacionais no
 461 estado do Rio de Janeiro. *Oecol. Aust.* 19, 215–231.

462 Aximoff, I., 2011. O que perdemos com a passagem do fogo pelos campos de altitude
 463 do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. *Revista Biodiversidade Brasileira*, Número Temático:
 464 Ecologia e Manejo do Fogo em Áreas Protegidas. 2, 180–200.

465 Barbosa, A.M., Real, R., Vargas, J.M., 2010. Use of coarse-resolution models of
 466 species' distributions to guide local conservation inferences. *Conserv. Biol.* 24,
 467 1378–1387.

468 Barbosa, A.M., 2016. fuzzySim: applying fuzzy logic to binary similarity indices
 469 in ecology. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 6, 853–858.

470 Barreto, C.G., Campos, J.B., Schwarzstein, N.T., Alves, G.S.G., Coelho, W., 2013.
 471 Análise da Região da Unidade de Conservação, in: Instituto Chico Mendes de
 472 Conservação da Biodiversidade (Ed.), Plano de Manejo Parque Nacional do Itatiaia,
 473 Encartes 2 e 3. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília, pp. 1–117.

474 Beca, G., Vancine, M.H., Carvalho, C.S., Pedrosa, F., Alves R.S.C., Buscariol, D.,
 475 Peres, C.A., Ribeiro, M.C., Galetti, M., 2017. High mammal species turnover in
 476 forest patches immersed in biofuel plantations. *Biol. Conserv.* 210, 352–359.

477 Becker, M., Dalponte, J.C., 1991. Rastros de mamíferos silvestres brasileiros: um guia
 478 de campo. Editora Universidade de Brasília, Brasília.

479 Bereta, A., Freitas S.R., Bueno, C., 2017. Novas ocorrências de *Chrysocyon brachyurus*
 480 (Carnivora) no estado do Rio de Janeiro indicando a expansão de sua distribuição
 481 geográfica. Bol. Soc. Bras. Mastozool. 78, 5–8.

482 Bueno, A.A., Motta-Junior, J.C., 2009. Feeding habits of the maned wolf, *Chrysocyon*
 483 *brachyurus* (Carnivora: Canidae), in southeast Brazil. Stud. Neotrop. Fauna
 484 Environ. 44, 67–75.

485 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2002. Model selection and inference: a practical
 486 information-theoretic approach. Springer-Verlag New York, New York.

487 Caro, T., 2010. Conservation by Proxy: Indicator, umbrella, keystone, flagship, and
 488 other surrogate species. Island Press, Washington, DC.

489 Carvalho, W.D., Martins, M.A., Esbérard, C.E.L., Palmeirim, J.M., 2019. Traits that
 490 allow bats of tropical lowland origin to conquer mountains: Bat assemblages along
 491 elevational gradients in the South American Atlantic Forest. J. Biogeogr. 46, 316–
 492 331.

493 Carvalho, W.D., Xavier, B.S., Esbérard, C.E.L., 2015. Primatas do Parque Estadual da
 494 Serra do Papagaio e RPPNs adjacentes, estado de Minas Gerais. Neotrop. Primates
 495 22, 25–31.

496 Childs-Sanford, S.E., 2005. The captive maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*):
 497 nutritional considerations with emphasis on management of cystinuria. Master
 498 thesis, University of Maryland.

499 Coelho, C.M., De Melo, L.F.B., Sábato, M.A.L., Magni, E.M.V., Hirsch, A., Young,
 500 R.J., 2008. Habitat use by wild maned wolves (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*) in a
 501 transition zone environment. J. Mammal. 89, 97–104.

Coelho, L., Romero, D., Queirolo, D., Guerrero, J.C., 2018. Understanding factors affecting the distribution of the maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*) in South America: Spatial dynamics and environmental drivers. *Mammal. Biol.* 92, 54–61.

Cliff, A. D., Ord, J. K. 1981. *Spatial Processes: Models and Applications*. Pion, London, pp. 2–10.

Cramer, J.S., 1999. Predictive performance of binary logit model in unbalanced samples. *J. R. Stat. Soc.* 48, 85–94.

Curi, N.H.A., Araújo, A.S., Campos, F.S., Lobato, Z.I.P., Gennari, S.M., Marvulo, M.F.V., Silva, J.C.R., Talamoni, S.A., 2010. Wild canids, domestic dogs and their pathogens in Southeast Brazil: disease threats for canid conservation. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 19, 3513–3524.

Dietz, J.M., 1984. Ecology and social organization of the maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Dietz, J.M., 1985. *Chrysocyon brachyurus*. *Mammalian Species* 234, 1–4.

Eckhardt, B., 2016. Análise da presença e estratégias de conservação do lobo-guará *Chrysocyon brachyurus* (Illiger, 1815) no estado do Rio de Janeiro. Master thesis, Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro, Escola Nacional de Botânica Tropical.

Ferreira, J., Aragão, L., Barlow, J., Barreto, P., Berenguer, E., Bustamante, M., Gardner, T., Lees, A., Lima, A., Louzada, J., 2014. Brazil's environmental leadership at risk. *Science* 346, 706–707.

Freitas, S., Oliveira, A., Ciocheti, G., Vieira, M.V., Matos, D., 2015. How landscape features influence road-kill of three species of mammals in the Brazilian Savanna. *Oecol. Aust.* 18, 35–45.

526 Geise, L., Pereira, L., Bossi, D., Bergallo, H., 2004. Pattern of elevational distribution
 527 and richness of non volant mammals in Itatiaia National Park and its surroundings,
 528 in southeastern Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.* 64, 599–612.

529 Guisan, A., Zimmermann, N.E., 2000. Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology.
 530 *Ecol. Modell.* 135, 147–186.

531 Guisan, A., Tingley, R., Baumgartner, J.B., Naujokaitis-Lewis, I., Sutcliffe, P.R.,
 532 Tulloch, A.I.T., Regan, T.J., Brotons, L., McDonald-Madden, E., Mantyka-Pringle,
 533 C., Martin, T.G., Rhodes, J.R., Maggini, R., Setterfield, S.A., Elith, J., Schwartz,
 534 M.W., Wintle, B.A., Broennimann, B.A.O., Austin, M., Ferrier, S., Kearney, M.R.,
 535 Possingham, H.P., Buckley, Y.M., 2013. Predicting species distributions for
 536 conservation decisions. *Ecol. Lett.* 16, 1424–1435.

537 Hosmer, D.W., Lemeshow, S., 2000. *Applied Logistic Regression*. John Wiley & Sons,
 538 2nd edition. New York.

539 Hosmer, D.W., Lemeshow, S., Sturdivant, R.X., 2013. *Applied logistic regression*. John
 540 Wiley & Sons, New York.

541 IEF-MG (Instituto Estadual de Florestas de Minas Gerais), 2008. Plano de Manejo do
 542 Parque Estadual da Serra do Papagaio.
 543 [http://www.ief.mg.gov.br/images/stories/Plano_de_Manejo/serra_papagaio/encarte](http://www.ief.mg.gov.br/images/stories/Plano_de_Manejo/serra_papagaio/encarte%20ii.pdf)
 544 [%20ii.pdf](http://www.ief.mg.gov.br/images/stories/Plano_de_Manejo/serra_papagaio/encarte%20ii.pdf) (accessed 15 January 2019).

545 IBGE (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística), 2004. Mapa de Biomas do Brasil e
 546 o Mapa de Vegetação do Brasil.
 547 [http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/presidencia/noticias/noticia_visualiza.php?id_noticia](http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/presidencia/noticias/noticia_visualiza.php?id_noticia=169)
 548 [=169](http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/presidencia/noticias/noticia_visualiza.php?id_noticia=169), (accessed 20 January 2019).

549 IBGE (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística), 2016. Resolução nº4 publicada em
 550 29/08/2016. Diário Oficial da União, Seção 1, página 47.

<http://www.jusbrasil.com.br/diarios/124117274/dou-secao-1-30-08-2016-pg-47>,

(accessed 20 January 2019).

INPE (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais), 2019. Topodata: banco de dados geomorfométricos do Brasil. Variáveis geomorfométricas locais. São José dos Campos. <http://www.dsr.inpe.br/topodata/>, (accessed 20 January 2019).

Jácomo, A.T.A., Kashivakura, C.K., Ferro, C., Furtado, M.M., Astete, S.P., Tôrres, N.M., Sollmann, R., Silveira, L., 2009. Home range and spatial organization of maned wolves in the Brazilian grasslands. *J. Mammal.* 90, 150–157.

Knapp, S., 2002. Assessing patterns of plant endemism in Neotropical uplands. *Bot. Rev.* 68, 22–37.

Koeppen, W., 1948. *Climatologia: con un estudio de los climas de la tierra*. Universidad Católica de Córdoba, Córdoba.

Le Saout, S., Hoffmann, M., Shi, Y., Hughes, A., Bernard, C., Brooks, T.M., Bertzky, B., Butchart, S.H., Stuart, S.N., Badman, T., 2013. Protected areas and effective biodiversity conservation. *Science* 342, 803–805.

Lumley, T., 2018. “Survey: analysis of complex survey samples”. R package version 3.35. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survey/index.html>.

Lumley, T., 2004. Analysis of complex survey samples. *J. Stat. Softw.* 9, 1–19.

Martinelli, G., 1996. *Campos de altitude*. Editora Index, Rio de Janeiro.

Martinelli, G., 2007. Mountain biodiversity in Brazil. *Braz. J. Bot.* 30, 587–597.

Massara, R., Paschoal, A., Chiarello, A., 2015. Gastrointestinal parasites of maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*, Illiger 1815) in a suburban area in southeastern Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.* 75, 643–649.

574 Massara, R.L., Paschoal, A., Hirsch, A., Chiarello, A., 2012. Diet and habitat use by
 575 maned wolf outside protected areas in eastern Brazil. *Trop. Conserv. Sci.* 5, 284–
 576 300.

577 Mendonça, J.G.F., 2017. Campos de altitude do Parque Estadual da Serra do Papagaio,
 578 Minas Gerais, Brasil: Composição florística, fitogeografia e estrutura da vegetação.
 579 Master thesis, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora.

580 Mentz, L.A., Oliveira, P.L., 2004. *Solanum* (Solanaceae) na região sul do Brasil.
 581 Instituto Anchietano de Pesquisas, São Leopoldo.

582 MMA (Ministério do Meio Ambiente), 2014. Portaria do Ministério do Meio Ambiente
 583 nº 444, de 17 de dezembro de 2014: Espécies da fauna brasileira ameaçadas de
 584 extinção. [http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/images/stories/docs-plano-de-acao/00-](http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/images/stories/docs-plano-de-acao/00-saiba-mais/04-PORTARIA_MMA_N%C2%BA_444_DE_17_DE_DEZ_DE_2014.pdf)
 585 [saiba-mais/04-](http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/images/stories/docs-plano-de-acao/00-saiba-mais/04-PORTARIA_MMA_N%C2%BA_444_DE_17_DE_DEZ_DE_2014.pdf)
 586 [PORTARIA MMA N%C2%BA 444 DE 17 DE DEZ DE 2014.pdf](http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/images/stories/docs-plano-de-acao/00-saiba-mais/04-PORTARIA_MMA_N%C2%BA_444_DE_17_DE_DEZ_DE_2014.pdf),
 587 (accessed 13 March 2019).

588 Montgomery, D.C., Peck, E.A., 1992. Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis.
 589 Wiley, New York.

590 Muñoz, A.R., Jiménez-Valverde, A., Márquez, A.L., Moleón, M., Real, R., 2015.
 591 Environmental favourability as a cost-efficient tool to estimate carrying capacity.
 592 *Divers. Distrib.* 21, 1388–1400.

593 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., Da Fonseca, G.A., Kent, J., 2000.
 594 Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403, 853–858.

595 Myslajek, R.W., Nowak, S., Jedrzejewska, B., 2012. Distribution, characteristics and
 596 use of shelters by the Eurasian badger *Meles meles* along an altitudinal gradient in
 597 the Western Carpathians, S Poland. *Folia Zool.* 61, 152–160.

598 Oliveira-Filho, A.T., Fontes, M.A.L., 2000. Patterns of Floristic Differentiation among
 599 Atlantic Forests in Southeastern Brazil and the Influence of Climate 1. *Biotropica*
 600 32, 793–810.

601 Ortiz, F.R., Menezes, F.A., Abegg, A.D., Landroz, E.M.J., Feio, R.N., 2017. A new
 602 record for *Melanophryniscus moreirae* (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1920) (Amphibia, Anura,
 603 Bufonidae) in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Check List* 13, 2140.

604 Paolino, R.M., Versiani, N.F., Pasqualotto, N., Rodrigues, T.F., Krepschi, V.G.,
 605 Chiarello, A.G., 2016. Buffer zone use by mammals in a Cerrado protected area.
 606 *Biota Neotrop.* 16, e20140117.

607 Paula, R., Rodrigues, F., Queirolo, R., Lemos, F., Rodrigues, L., 2013. Avaliação do
 608 estado de conservação do Lobo-guará *Chrysocyon brachyurus* (Illiger, 1815) no
 609 Brasil. *Biodiversidade Brasileira* 3:146–159.

610 Paula, R.C., Medici, P., Morato, R.G., 2008. Plano de Ação para Conservação do Lobo-
 611 guará: análise de viabilidade populacional e de hábitat (PHVA).
 612 MMA/ICMBio/CENAP, Brasília.

613 Queirolo, D., Motta-Junior, J.C., 2007. Prey availability and diet of maned wolf in Serra
 614 da Canastra National Park, sotheastern Brazil. *Acta Theriol.* 52, 391–402.

615 Queirolo, D., Moreira, J.R., Soler, L., Emmons, L.H., Rodrigues, F.H., Pautasso, A.A.,
 616 Cartes, J.L., Salvatori, V., 2011. Historical and current range of the Near
 617 Threatened maned wolf *Chrysocyon brachyurus* in South America. *Oryx* 45, 296–
 618 303.

619 R Core Team, 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R
 620 Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.

621 Real, R., Barbosa, A.M., Vargas, J.M., 2006. Obtaining environmental favourability
 622 functions from logistic regression. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 13, 237–245.

623 Real, R., Barbosa, A.M., Bull, J.W., 2017. Species distributions, quantum theory, and
 624 the enhancement of biodiversity measures. *Syst. Biol.* 66, 453–462.

625 Reis, N.R., Peracchi, A.L., Rossaneis, B.K., Fregonezi, M.N., 2010. Técnicas de
 626 estudos aplicados aos mamíferos silvestres brasileiros. Technical Books Editora,
 627 Rio de Janeiro.

628 Ribeiro, J.H.C., Santana, L.D., Carvalho, F.A., 2018. Composition, structure and
 629 biodiversity of trees in tropical montane cloud forest patches in Serra do Papagaio
 630 State Park, southeast Brazil. *Edinb. J. Bot.* 75, 255–284.

631 Ribeiro, M.C., Metzger J.P., Martensen A.C., Ponzoni F.J., Hirota, M.M., 2009. The
 632 Brazilian Atlantic Forest: How much is left, and how is the remaining forest
 633 distributed? Implications for conservation. *Biol. Conserv.* 142, 1141–1153.

634 Rodrigues, F., Oliveira, T., 2006. Unidades de conservação e seu papel na conservação
 635 de carnívoros brasileiros, in: Morato, G.M., Rodrigues, F.H.G., Eizirik, E.,
 636 Mangini, P.R., Azevedo, F.C.C., Marinho-Filho, J. (Eds.), *Manejo e Conservação*
 637 *de carnívoros tropicais*. Edições IBAMA, Brasília, pp. 97–110.

638 Romero, D., Olivero, J., Brito, J.C., Real, R., 2016. Comparison of approaches to
 639 combine species distribution models based on different sets of predictors.
 640 *Ecography* 39, 561–571.

641 Romero, D., Olivero, J., Real, R., Guerrero, J. C., 2019. Applying fuzzy logic to assess
 642 the biogeographical risk of dengue in South America. *Parasit. Vectors*,
 643 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3691-5>.

644 Rosa, C.A., Santos, K.K., Faria, G.M.M., Puertas, F., Passamani, M., 2015. Dietary
 645 behavior of the Maned wolf *Chrysocyon brachyurus* (Illiger, 1815) and the record
 646 of predation of Brown tinamou *Crypturellus obsoletus* (Temminck, 1815)

(Tinamiformes, Tinamidae) at Mantiqueira Mountains, Southeastern Brazil. Bol. Soc. Bras. Mastozool. 72:7–10.

Safford, H., 1999. Brazilian Páramos I. An introduction to the physical environment and vegetation of the campos de altitude. J. Biogeogr. 26, 693–712.

Segadas-Vianna, F., Dau, L., 1965. Ecology of the Itatiaia Range, Southeastern Brazil. Imprensa Nacional, Brasília.

Silva, J.A., Talamoni, S.A., 2003. Diet adjustments of maned wolves, *Chrysocyon brachyurus* (Illiger) (Mammalia, Canidae), subjected to supplemental feeding in a private natural reserve, Southeastern Brazil. Ver. Bras. Zool. 20, 339–345.

Silveira, L., Furtado, M.M., Tôrres, N.M., Sollmann, R., Uhl, G., Jácomo, A.T.A., 2009. Maned wolf density in a Central Brazilian grassland reserve. J. Wildl. Manage. 73, 68–71. <https://doi.org/10.2193/2008-051>

Simas, F.N.B., Schaefer, C.E.G.R., Fernandes Filho, E.I., Chagas, A.C., Brandão, P.C., 2005. Chemistry, mineralogy and micropedology of highland soils on crystalline rocks of Serra da Mantiqueira, southeastern Brazil. Geoderma 125, 187–201.

Stehmann, J., Mentz, L., Agra, M., Vignoli-Silva, M., Giacomini, L., Rodrigues, I., 2014. Solanaceae in lista de espécies da Flora do Brasil. Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro.

Ururahy, J.C.C., Collares, J.E.R., Santos, M.M., Barreto, R.A.A., 1983. Folhas SF.23/24 Rio de Janeiro/Vitória; geologia, geomorfologia, pedologia, vegetação e uso potencial da terra. Projeto RADAMBRASIL: As regiões fitoecológicas, sua natureza e seus recursos econômicos (4 – Vegetação). Estudo Fitogeográfico, Rio de Janeiro.

Wald, A., 1943. Tests of statistical hypotheses concerning several parameters with applications to problems of estimation. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 54, 426–482.

672 Xavier, B.S., Carvalho, W.D., Dias, D., Tabosa, L.O., Santos, C.E.L., Esbérard, C.E.L.,
 673 2018. Bat richness (Mammalia: Chiroptera) in an area of montane Atlantic Forest in
 674 the Serra da Mantiqueira, state of Minas Gerais, southeast Brazil. *Biota Neotrop.*
 675 18, e20170496. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1676-0611-bn-2017-0496>.
 676 Xavier, M.S., Lemos, H.M., Caccavo, A., Bezerra, A., Secco, H., Gonçalves, P.R.,
 677 2017. Noteworthy coastal records of the maned wolf, *Chrysocyon brachyurus*
 678 (Illiger, 1815), in Southeastern Brazil. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mastozool.* 78, 9–13.
 679 Zar, J.H., 2010. Biostatistical analysis. Pearson Prentice Hall, Nova Jersey.
 680 Zuur, A., Feno, E., Walker, N., Saveliev, A., Smith, G., 2009. Mixed effects models and
 681 extensions in ecology with R. Springer, New York.

682 **Tables**

683 **Table 1:** Variables and factors used to model the maned wolf activity patterns. In bold
684 the variables used in the modeling procedure by overcoming multicollinearity and
685 correlation filters.

Abbreviation	Variable/Factor	Sources
Topography		
ME	Mean elevation (m)	Obtained from Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE, 2019). http://www.dsr.inpe.br/topodata/
S	Slope	Calculated from elevation.
O _{N/S}	Orientation N/S	Calculated from slope.
Land use		
AGR	Agriculture (%)	Extracted from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics – IBGE (2004).
DOF/MOF	Dense Ombrophilous Forest / Mixed Ombrophilous Forest (%)	
UMDOF	Upper Montane Dense Ombrophilous Forest (%)	
LIV	Livestock (%)	
SF/MOF	Seasonal Forest/Mixed Ombrophilous Forest (%)	
SSMF	Seasonal Semideciduous Montane Forest (%)	
UMVR	Upper Montane Vegetational Refuges (%)	
FS	Forested Savannah (%)	
GWS	Gramineous-Woody Savannah (%)	
SVI	Secondary Vegetation Initial (%)	
Human activities		
PobDen	Population density (inhabitants’	Gridded Population of the World (GPW-v4).

	number ×[km ²] ⁻¹)	Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC). Hosted by CIESIN at the Columbia University. 2010. https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/collection/gpw-v4/
	Vegetation Bands	
HAF	High-Altitude Fields (%) (above 2,000 a.s.l.)	
UMF	Upper Montane Forest (%) (ca. 1,501 to 2,000 m. a.s.l.)	Based on the classification described by Segadas-Vianna and Dau (1965).
MF	Montane Forest (%) (ca. 501 to 1,500 m. a.s.l.),	

Table 2: Evaluation of the maned wolf favourability model, showing the discrimination and classification indices values, AUC = area under the ROC (receiving operating characteristic) curve; CCR = correct classification rate; UPR = under prediction range; OPR = over prediction range.

Evaluation Indexes		Favourability model
		Maned wolf
Discrimination	AUC	0.723
	Sensitivity	0.507
Classification (thresholds of 0.5)	Specificity	0.823
	CCR	0.742
	UPR	0.172
	OPR	0.5

Table 3: Predictor variables included in the maned wolf favourability model. Signs in brackets show the positive or negative relationship between favourability and the variables in the models. The Wald parameter indicates the relative weight of every variable in the Maned Wolf model.

Variable	<i>Sign</i>	Wald
High-Altitude Fields	(+)	27.953
Slope	(+)	7.072
Upper Montane Vegetation Refuges (%)	(+)	5.566
Agriculture (%)	(+)	4.776
Dense Ombrophilous Forest (%)	(+)	4.093

Fig. 1 (A) South America highlighting in the box the location of the Serra da Mantiqueira in Brazil; and (B), the protected areas where the study was carried out, along the elevational gradient of the Mantiqueira Range: INP – Itatiaia National Park and SPSP – Serra do Papagaio State Park.

705

706

707 **Fig. 2** Maned wolf presence records and buffer of activity (shown in grey) in the Serra
 708 da Mantiqueira in Brazil; and (B), the area with maned wolf activity in black and with
 709 no activity in white. INP – Itatiaia National Park (INP); SPSP – Serra do Papagaio State
 710 Park (SPSP).

Fig. 3 Maned wolf favourability map, showing the favourable areas for maned wolves in the Itatiaia National Park (INP) and the Serra do Papagaio State Park (SPSP). Favourability values are shown in grayscale; from 0 to 1, where 0 indicates unfavourable areas and 1 the most favourable areas according to the environmental conditions analysed. No grid obtained unfavourable values ($f < 0.2$).